



Article

Inducing Salinity Tolerance in Strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa*) by Proline and Glycine Betaine

EL-Afify, S. S. T.*, H. M. B. EL-Metwally and Amira A. A. Mohammed



Future Science Association

Available online free at www.futurejournals.org

Print ISSN: 2687-8151 Online ISSN: 2687-8216

DOI: 10.37229/fsa.fja.2023.10.20

Received: 10 September 2023 Accepted: 7 October 2023 Published: 20 October 2023

Publisher's Note: FA stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/). Veg. Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

*Corresponding author: samersamir265@gmail.com

Abstract: Salinity is a major abiotic stress factor that affects agricultural productivity worldwide, including strawberry cultivation. Implementing effective strategies to manage soil salinity is crucial for optimizing strawberry productivity. So, a research trial was conducted to evaluate the effects of external applications of proline (0, 75, 100, 150 ml L⁻¹, as main factor) and glycine betaine GB (0,150, 200, 250 mg L^{-1,} as sub main factor) on the performance, oxidation of fruit tissues, quantitative and qualitative yield of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa) grown on saline soil having EC value of 7.5 dsm⁻¹ via a split-plot experimental design across the successive seasons of 2021/2022 and 2022/2023. The findings showed that the growth performance parameters (e.g., plant height, number of leaves), quantitative and qualitative yield indicators (e.g., fruit weight, total yield, anthocyanin pigment, catalase enzyme CAT) of strawberry exhibited an increasing trend as the application rates of proline or GB increased. The highest values were observed when proline was applied at a rate of 150 ml L⁻¹ in combination with GB at 250 mg L⁻¹. Regarding the malondialdehyde (MDA) as an oxidative stress indicator, the control treatment (without proline or GB) exhibited the highest levels of MDA. Conversely, the combined treatment of proline (150 ml L⁻¹) and GB (250 mg L⁻¹) ¹) demonstrated the lowest levels of MDA. Generally, it can be concluded that the soil salinity adversely affected strawberry growth, yield, and oxidative stress indicator. However, the external application of proline and GB proved to be beneficial in mitigating the salinity harmful effect.

Key words: Strawberry, proline, glycine betaine, yield and fruit quality.

INTRODUCTION

Strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) hold great significance in the Egyptian market (**Abd-Elgawad**, **2019**) contributing to the country's agricultural sector and economy (**Malhat** *et al.*, **2020**). The Egyptian market recognizes the importance of strawberries as a high-value crop, providing a lucrative opportunity for farmers and producers (**Abd-El-Kareem** *et al.*, **2022**). Salinity is a major abiotic stress factor that affects agricultural productivity worldwide (**Ghazi** *et al.*, **2021**) including strawberry cultivation (**Zahedi** *et al.*, **2020**). **El-Agrodi** *et al.* (2016)

exhibited that when exposed to high salt levels in the soil, strawberry plants experience osmotic stress and ion toxicity, as this can lead to nutrient imbalances (El-Hadidi et al., 2020) reduction of water uptake, ion accumulation (Soleymanzadeh et al., 2020), oxidative stress and finally reduced growth and yield (Arıkan et al., 2020). Strawberries are relatively sensitive to salinity, thus exploring salt stress responses in strawberries is interesting (Larson, 2018). Implementing effective strategies to manage soil salinity is crucial for optimizing strawberry productivity (Shamsabad et al., 2022). To combat the negative effects of salt affected soil, researchers have been exploring various strategies for enhancing the tolerance of strawberry plants to these harsh circumstances. One such approach involves the use of osmoprotectants, including proline and glycine betaine. Proline is an amino acid that acts as a compatible solute, aiding in the maintenance of cell turgor and stability under stressful environments (Ibrahim et al., 2023). Glycine betaine (GB), a quaternary ammonium compound, also functions as an osmoprotectant, assisting plants in osmoregulation and stress adaptation (Annunziata et al., 2019). Under stressful environmental conditions, GB has been observed to accumulate in the cytoplasm of various plants, acting as an osmolyte. The foliar application of GB has a stabilizing and protective effect on enzymes and membranes when strawberry plants are grown on salt-affected soil as mentioned by Alaei and Mahna (2023).

These osmoprotectants have shown promising potential in mitigating the harmful effects of soil salinity on strawberry plants (Heuer, 2003 and Ntanos *et al.*, 2021). By applying proline and glycine betaine externally, their presence within the plant tissues can help regulate water balance (Gerdakaneh *et al.*, 2010; Aras and Eşİtken, 2013; Kahlaoui *et al.*, 2018 and Nada, 2020), reduce oxidative stress, and enhance overall plant performance (Adak, 2019; Annunziata *et al.*, 2019; Alfosea-Simón *et al.*, 2020 and Mugwanya *et al.*, 2023). Understanding the role of these osmoprotectants in improving salinity tolerance can provide valuable insights for farmers and researchers in effectively managing strawberry production in salt-affected soils.

Therefore, the main objective of this research work is to evaluate the effects of proline and glycine betaine on the performance, oxidative stress indicator, quantitative and qualitative yield of strawberries (*Fragaria x ananassa*) grown on salt-affected soil. By investigating the potential benefits of these osmoprotectants, this study aims to contribute to the development of sustainable strategies for enhancing strawberry cultivation in the presence of soil salinity, ensuring the continued success and profitability of this important crop in the Egyptian market.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A research trial was conducted to evaluate the effects of external applications of proline (0, 75, 100, 150 ml L⁻¹, as main factor) and glycine betaine (0,150, 200, 250 mg L⁻¹, as sub main factor) on the performance, oxidative stress, quantitative and qualitative yield of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) grown on saline soil with EC value of 7.5 dsm⁻¹.

Experimental site

A field experiment was executed during two successive seasons of 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 at a privately-owned farm situated in Seen Elbaharya village, Badr district, Buhaira governorate, Egypt.

Soil sampling

Prior to implementing the experiment, soil sample was collected (depth of 0-30 cm) then analyzed using the stander methods, as their characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Parameters	Values
Particle size distribution (%)	
Clay	50.00
Silt	29.35
Sand	20.65
Textural class is clay	
Organic matter, %	1.39
EC dSm ⁻¹ (soil paste extract)	7.50
pH (soil suspension, 1: 2.5)	7.85
N, mgKg ⁻¹	48.5
P, mgKg ⁻¹	8.94
K, mgKg ⁻¹	210.3

Table (1). Characters of the initial soil (average of both seasons) depending on Sparks *et al.* (2020) and Dane and Topp (2020)

Studied substances

Proline and glycine betaine were purchased from Egyptian commercial market, then the studied rates were prepared.

Strawberry seedlings

Fresh seedlings of the Festival F1 hybrid strawberry (*Fragaria X ananassa Duch.*) variety were acquired from Techno Green Farms, located in New El-Salhia. The strawberry plants were transplanted on 15th October during both seasons. Prior to transplantation, all seedlings were carefully chosen based on their crown diameter, ensuring that each seedling had a crown diameter exceeding 0.5 cm.

Experimental setup

This trial was held out in a split plot design with three replicates, as the proline and glycine betaine at various studied rates were sprayed five times at 50, 65, 80, 95 and 110 after transplanting. Compost was added to the studied soil at a rate of 20 m³ fed⁻¹ three months before strawberry cultivation. In addition, the soil was sterilized before transplanting through solar sterilization. Calcium superphosphate fertilizer (15% P_2O_5) was added at a rate of 150 kg fed⁻¹ then the soil was well- plowed and disked. Then, planting lines were planned, and then the lines were surveyed and divided into terraces with a width of 120 cm.

The experimental unit area was 14.4 m² (comprising three beds that were 1.6 m wide and 3.0 m long). Each bed accommodated four rows of transplants, resulting in a total of 140 plants per plot. For irrigation, each bed was equipped with two dripper lines spaced 25 cm apart, with a flow rate of 4 l/h. Before planting, the fresh strawberry transplants were immersed in a disinfectant solution (Rhizolex solution) at a concentration of 3.0 g per liter for a duration of 30 minutes. Following the disinfection process, the transplants were immediately planted, maintaining a spacing of 25 cm between each other on both sides of the dripper lines. Sprinkler irrigation was employed for the initial two weeks after transplantation, and subsequently, drip irrigation was utilized until the end of the growing season. In the 5th week after transplanting, the runners were removed and defoliation took place, followed by mulching the beds with 60-micron brown-silvery plastic mulch at the end of that week, which corresponded to 35 days from the transplanting date. In the phase of vegetative growth, every m³ of irrigation water was supplemented with 500 cm3 of compound fertilizer containing 10% nitrogen (N), 2% phosphorus (P),

6% potassium (K), and microelements. During the flowering stage, the same amount of compound fertilizer, consisting of 10% nitrogen (N), 4% phosphorus (P), 8% potassium (K), and microelements, was added to each cubic meter of irrigation water. In the fruiting stage, 500 cm3 of compound fertilizer with 8% nitrogen (N), 2% phosphorus (P), 10% potassium (K), and microelements was incorporated into every m³ of irrigation water.

Measurements

Growth performance traits

Samples of five plants were collected from the central three rows of each experimental plot to assess plant height (cm), foliage fresh weight (g plant⁻¹), secondary crown number plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, leaf area (cm² plant⁻¹), and leaf dry matter (%) after 120 days of transplanting in both seasons. Additionally, the levels of photosynthetic pigments were measured, including chlorophyll which measured using SPAD and carotene content (mg g⁻¹) which was analyzed as described by **Picazo** *et al.* (2013).

Yield and its components

Strawberry fruits were harvested when they reached a size suitable for the market. They were then weighed and counted to determine the yield (marketable and unmarketable, ton ha⁻¹) and average fruit weight (g). The cumulative yield from December to March was considered as the early yield, while the total yield was measured by recording the weights of all harvested fruits from each plot until 1st June. Also, fruit firmness (g/ cm⁻²) and fruit dry matter (%) were measured.

Fruit quality

During the first week of April, using a random sample of ten fruits harvested, the following quality parameters were determined.

- Total soluble solids (TSS %, by a hand refractometer), total sugars % in dry matter), acidity (%) and vitamin C (VC, mg 100g⁻¹, using titrimetric estimation with 2,6 dichloro phenol dye solution) were determined according to **AOAC (2000)**. To determine the titratable acidity, a random sample of 100g of fresh fruits was taken. The acidity was measured as grams of citric acid per 100g of juice. Anthocyanin (mg 100g⁻¹) was measured as described by **Crecente-Campo** *et al.* (2012).

- Malondialdehyde (MDA, μmol. g⁻¹ F.W, as an oxidative stress indicator) and catalase (CAT, unit.g⁻¹.min⁻¹, as an antioxidant enzyme) were measured by using spectrophotometric method as described by **Mendes** *et al.* (2009) and Alici and Arabaci (2016), respectively.

Statistical analysis

The data analysis was carried out using CoStat version 6.303(1998 -2004), as the statistical technique outlined in the methodology reported by **Gomez and Gomez (1984).** The least significant difference (LSD) test was at a significance level of 5%.

RESULTS

Growth criteria and photosynthetic pigments

Tables 2 and 3 illustrates the effects of different rates of proline and glycine betaine on vegetative growth characteristics of strawberries, including plant height (cm), foliage fresh weight (g plant⁻¹), secondary crown number plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, leaf area (cm² plant⁻¹), and leaf dry matter (%) after 120 days of transplanting during seasons of 2021/2022 and 2022/2023.On the other hand, Table 4 demonstrates the effects of the tested treatments on the levels of photosynthetic pigments, including chlorophyll (SPAD value) and carotene content (mg g⁻¹) after 120 days of transplanting in both seasons (2021/2022 and 2022/2023). The findings showed that the growth performance parameters

and leaves photosynthetic pigments of strawberries exhibited an increasing trend as the application rates of proline or GB increased as individual effects for each alone. The lowest values of all aforementioned traits were achieved in the absence of both proline and GB. The highest values of all aforementioned traits were observed when proline was applied at a rate of 150 ml L⁻¹ in combination with GB at 250 mg L^{-1} .

Treatments			height cm)	Fresh weigh (g/pl	nt of foliage lant)		f secondary /plant		
11000		1 st season	2nd season	1st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season		
			Effect	of proline amin	no acid concer	trations			
Control (wit	hout)	22.42	23.13	58.60	59.89	5.50	6.33		
Proline (75 n	nl L ⁻¹)	22.98	23.69	61.71	62.95	5.58	6.83		
Proline (100	ml L ⁻¹)	23.26	23.98	63.43	64.70	6.25	7.17		
Proline (150	ml L ⁻¹)	23.41	24.14	64.19	65.52	6.50	7.42		
LSD at 5%		0.18	0.20	0.85	0.75	0.77	0.67		
			Effect	of glycine betain	ne (GB) concen	trations			
Control (wit	hout)	21.97	22.69	56.24	57.44	5.17	6.00		
GB (150 mg	L-1)	22.97	23.67	61.64	62.90	5.50	6.75		
GB (200 mg	L-1)	23.45	24.18	64.41	65.79	6.50	7.42		
GB (250 mg	L-1)	23.67	24.40	65.63	66.94	6.67	7.58		
LSD at 5%		0.30	0.26	0.67	0.66	0.77	0.78		
proline level	GB level			Effect of	of interaction				
Control	Control	21.66	22.34	54.32	55.43	5.00	5.67		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	22.50	23.25	59.11	60.24	5.67	6.33		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	22.69	23.40	60.00	61.44	5.67	6.67		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	22.81	23.52	60.99	62.46	5.67	6.67		
75 mg L ⁻¹	Control	21.87	22.66	55.70	56.96	5.00	6.00		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	22.95	23.60	61.44	62.77	4.00	6.67		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	23.45	24.20	64.31	65.61	6.67	7.33		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	23.62	24.31	65.38	66.47	6.67	7.33		
100 mg L ⁻¹	Control	22.11	22.82	56.95	58.12	5.33	6.00		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	23.12	23.82	62.63	63.89	6.00	7.00		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	23.77	24.48	66.35	67.76	6.67	7.67		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	24.05	24.82	67.79	69.02	7.00	8.00		
150 mg L ⁻¹	Control	22.24	22.93	58.00	59.26	5.33	6.33		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	23.30	24.02	63.36	64.69	6.33	7.00		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	23.90	24.64	67.00	68.33	7.00	8.00		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	24.18	24.97	68.38	69.81	7.33	8.33		
LSD at 5%		0.60	0.52	1.35	1.31	1.55	1.56		

Table (2). Effect of different concentrations of proline and glycine betaine on plant height, fresh
weight of foliage and number of secondary crown /plant after 120 days of transplanting
of strawberry plant during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons

Treatments			of leaves/ ant		es area plant)	Dry matter of leaves (%)			
IIcat	inclus	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season		
			Effect o	f proline am	ino acid conc	entrations			
Control (wit	hout)	32.25	33.00	439.92	445.92	28.48	29.61		
Proline (75 n	nl L ⁻¹)	34.25	35.17	472.58	478.08	29.03	30.34		
Proline (100	ml L ⁻¹)	35.33	37.58	488.92	495.25	29.41	30.62		
Proline (150	ml L⁻¹)	36.17	38.58	496.83	503.92	29.58	30.77		
LSD at 5%		0.57	1.28	6.89	7.05	0.17	0.45		
			Effect o	f glycine beta	ine (GB) conc	entrations			
Control (wit	hout)	30.92	30.17	417.42	422.58	28.01	29.16		
GB (150 mg	L-1)	34.08	36.17	472.75	479.58	29.01	30.25		
GB (200 mg	L-1)	35.83	38.00	497.75	504.00	29.62	30.85		
GB (250 mg	L-1)	37.17	40.00	510.33	517.00	29.86	31.06		
LSD at 5%		0.96	1.45	12.43	11.13	0.14	0.73		
proline level	GB level	Effect of interaction							
Control	Control	29.00	28.33	399.67	403.33	27.68	28.86		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	33.00	33.00	444.67	452.00	28.54	29.66		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	33.33	35.00	453.33	459.67	28.75	29.89		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	33.67	35.67	462.00	468.67	28.95	30.02		
75 mg L ⁻¹	Control	31.00	29.00	414.67	421.00	27.94	29.17		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	34.00	36.33	474.67	480.33	28.75	30.19		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	35.67	35.67	495.00	500.33	29.63	30.96		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	36.33	39.67	506.00	510.67	29.81	31.02		
100 mg L ⁻¹	Control	31.33	31.33	423.33	428.33	28.14	29.28		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	34.33	37.00	482.67	491.00	29.26	30.40		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	37.00	40.33	517.67	523.00	29.97	31.21		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	38.67	41.67	532.00	538.67	30.27	31.58		
150 mg L ⁻¹	Control	32.33	32.00	432.00	437.67	28.28	29.35		
	150 mg $L^{\cdot 1}$	35.00	38.33	489.00	495.00	29.50	30.74		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	37.33	41.00	525.00	533.00	30.13	31.35		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	40.00	43.00	541.33	550.00	30.40	31.63		
LSD at 5%		1.92	2.89	24.86	22.25	0.27	1.45		

Table (3). Effects of different concentrations of proline and glycine betaine on number of leaves/
plant, Leaves area and dry matter of leaves after 120 days of transplanting of
strawberry plant during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons

Table (4). Effects of different concentrations of proline and glycine betaine on photosynthetic
pigments in leaves of strawberry after 120 days of transplanting during 2021/2022 and
2022/2023 seasons

Treatments		Chloroph	nyll SPAD	Carotene mg/g dry weight		
Ire	atments	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	
		Effe	ct of proline ami	no acid concentra	tions	
Control (witho	ut)	44.34	45.02	0.244	0.248	
Proline (75 ml I	L ⁻¹)	45.25	46.08	0.259	0.264	
Proline (100 ml	L-1)	45.75	46.44	0.267	0.273	
Proline (150 ml	L-1)	45.96	46.66	0.270	0.275	
LSD at 5%		0.64	0.26	0.002	0.004	
		Effe	ct of glycine betai	ne (GB) concentra	tions	
Control (witho	ut)	43.73	44.38	0.233	0.238	
GB (150 mg L ⁻¹)	45.22	45.89	0.259	0.263	
GB (200 mg L-	¹)	46.01	46.83	0.272	0.277	
GB (250 mg L ⁻	¹)	46.33	47.10	0.276	0.282	
LSD at 5%		0.57	0.14	0.003	0.002	
proline level	GB level		Effect of i	nteraction		
Control	Control	43.36	44.05	0.225	0.227	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	44.47	45.19	0.248	0.253	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	44.62	45.37	0.250	0.255	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	44.89	45.48	0.254	0.259	
75 mg L ⁻¹	Control	43.67	44.31	0.233	0.238	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	45.11	45.82	0.257	0.262	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	45.98	47.01	0.272	0.275	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	46.25	47.20	0.276	0.281	
100 mg L ⁻¹	Control	43.85	44.44	0.236	0.241	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	45.52	46.17	0.264	0.269	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	46.60	47.41	0.282	0.288	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	47.02	47.75	0.287	0.293	
150 mg L ⁻¹	Control	44.05	44.72	0.240	0.245	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	45.79	46.40	0.267	0.270	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	46.84	47.53	0.285	0.290	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	47.16	47.98	0.287	0.296	
LSD at 5%		1.14	0.29	0.006	0.005	

Fruit yield and quality

Tables 5 and 6 presents the impact of different rates of proline and glycine betaine on the yield characteristics of strawberries. These characteristics include average fruit weight (g), fruit firmness (g cm⁻²), fruit dry matter (%), early and total yield (marketable and unmarketable, ton ha⁻¹) during the seasons of 2021/2022-2022/2023. While, Tables 7 and 8 demonstrates the effects of the studied treatments on various quality traits, such as TSS (%), total sugars (%), acidity (%), vitamin C (VC, mg 100g⁻¹), anthocyanin (mg 100g⁻¹), Malondialdehyde (MDA µmol g⁻¹ F.W), and catalase (CAT, unit.g⁻¹.min⁻¹) in both seasons (2021/2022-2022/2023). The data analysis revealed that all the treatments examined in the study had a significant impact on the mentioned traits.

Treatments		0	ruit weight g)		irmness cm ²)	•	er of fruit %)		
1100		1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season		
			Effect	of proline ami	no acid concer	trations			
Control (wi	thout)	20.29	20.58	328.83	332.83	7.93	8.07		
Proline (75 r	nl L ⁻¹)	20.99	21.35	342.75	347.00	8.22	8.41		
Proline (100	ml L ⁻¹)	21.34	21.67	349.67	354.08	8.36	8.55		
Proline (150	ml L ⁻¹)	21.49	21.81	352.67	357.25	8.44	8.64		
LSD at 5%		0.27	0.28	5.21	2.87	0.16	0.24		
			Effect	of glycine betai	ne (GB) concen	trations			
Control (wi	thout)	19.86	20.14	317.33	320.67	7.67	7.84		
GB (150 mg	L-1)	20.92	21.23	343.50	348.25	8.21	8.43		
GB (200 mg	g L-1)	21.54	21.91	354.33	358.92	8.47	8.66		
GB (250 mg	g L-1)	21.79	22.13	358.75	363.33	8.61	8.76		
LSD at 5%		0.22	0.21	8.98	6.76	0.23	0.17		
proline level	GB level	Effect of interaction							
Control	Control	19.64	19.93	306.33	309.33	7.48	7.65		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	20.31	20.67	332.00	335.67	7.94	8.14		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	20.48	20.77	335.67	340.33	8.01	8.19		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	20.73	20.97	341.33	346.00	8.29	8.31		
75 mg L ⁻¹	Control	19.76	20.07	316.00	318.33	7.66	7.83		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	20.90	21.20	344.00	349.00	8.18	8.41		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	21.56	22.01	353.33	359.00	8.48	8.65		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	21.72	22.11	357.67	361.67	8.56	8.76		
100 mg L ⁻¹	Control	19.95	20.19	322.00	326.33	7.72	7.89		
	150 mg L ⁻¹	21.16	21.46	346.33	350.67	8.31	8.53		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	21.99	22.36	364.00	367.67	8.67	8.85		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	22.27	22.65	366.33	371.67	8.75	8.95		
150 mg L ⁻¹	Control	20.08	20.35	325.00	328.67	7.81	7.99		
	150 mg L ^{.1}	21.32	21.60	351.67	357.67	8.40	8.63		
	200 mg L ⁻¹	22.14	22.49	364.33	368.67	8.72	8.93		
	250 mg L ⁻¹	22.42	22.78	369.67	374.00	8.82	9.02		
LSD at 5%		0.45	0.42	17.95	13.53	0.46	0.34		

Table (5). Effects of different concentrations of proline and glycine betaine on fruit characteristics of strawberry plant during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons

Regarding all above mentioned traits, except MDA and acidity, the values increased as the rate of proline or glycine betaine increased under both individual and interaction statistical analysis. In other words, the combined treatment of proline (150 ml L⁻¹) and (250 mg L⁻¹) caused the maximum values of average fruit weight (g), fruit firmness (g cm⁻²), fruit dry matter (%), early and total yield (marketable and unmarketable, ton ha⁻¹), TDS (%), total sugars (%), vitamin C (VC, mg 100g⁻¹), anthocyanin (mg 100g⁻¹) and catalase (CAT, unit.g⁻¹.min⁻¹).

			Early yie	ld (ton ha ⁻¹)		Total yield (ton ha ⁻¹)				
_		Marke	etable	Unmarl	ketable	Marke	etable	Unmarketable		
Treat	ments	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	
				Effect of pro	oline amiı	no acid conc	entratior	ıs		
Control (wit	hout)	12.10	12.36	1.71	1.65	51.67	52.60	2.33	2.44	
Proline (75 n	nl L ⁻¹)	13.31	13.58	1.64	1.63	54.62	55.52	1.99	2.18	
Proline (100	ml L ⁻¹)	13.94	14.23	1.64	1.59	56.21	57.24	1.77	1.61	
Proline (150	ml L ⁻¹)	14.23	14.50	1.66	1.61	56.89	57.76	1.70	1.83	
LSD at 5%		0.23	0.18	0.01	N.S	0.30	0.72	0.03	0.68	
				Effect of gly	ne (GB) conc	entratior	ıs			
Control (wit	hout)	11.20	11.42	1.77	1.72	49.54	50.36	2.66	2.83	
GB (150 mg	L-1)	13.32	13.61	1.68	1.61	54.74	55.73	1.87	1.86	
GB (200 mg	L-1)	14.35	14.64	1.61	1.58	57.06	58.03	1.71	1.80	
GB (250 mg	L-1)	14.71	15.00	1.58	1.56	58.04	59.01	1.54	1.57	
LSD at 5%		0.13	0.15	0.02	N.S	0.17	0.52	0.02	0.76	
Proline level	GB level				Effect of	interaction				
Control	Control	10.58	10.79	1.59	1.58	47.81	48.94	3.02	3.24	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	12.31	12.61	1.83	1.80	52.11	53.01	2.19	2.26	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	12.60	12.88	1.74	1.62	52.92	53.76	2.17	2.29	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	12.92	13.16	1.67	1.59	53.83	54.69	1.95	1.97	
75 mg L ⁻¹	Control	11.07	11.27	1.79	1.77	49.11	49.61	2.81	3.57	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	13.19	13.45	1.59	1.55	54.57	55.53	2.19	2.13	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	14.31	14.62	1.67	1.70	57.02	58.14	1.52	1.55	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	14.65	14.98	1.52	1.50	57.77	58.79	1.43	1.46	
100 mg L ⁻¹	Control	11.44	11.69	1.76	1.66	50.15	50.96	2.47	2.20	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	13.72	14.07	1.64	1.51	55.84	56.95	1.57	1.45	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	15.10	15.38	1.57	1.59	58.88	59.94	1.55	1.55	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	15.53	15.79	1.57	1.60	59.97	61.12	1.48	1.24	
150 mg L ⁻¹	Control	11.72	11.93	1.93	1.88	51.09	51.92	2.33	2.31	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	14.05	14.33	1.67	1.59	56.43	57.43	1.55	1.59	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	15.37	15.67	1.47	1.43	59.44	60.25	1.62	1.82	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	15.76	16.07	1.57	1.53	60.61	61.44	1.31	1.62	
LSD at 5%		0.26	0.30	0.04	0.04	0.34	1.04	0.04	1.53	

Table (6). Effects of different concentrations of proline and glycine betaine on yield characteristicsof strawberry plant during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons

Concerning the malondialdehyde (MDA) as an oxidative stress indicator and acidity, the control treatment (without proline or GB) exhibited the highest levels of MDA and acidity, as their values decreased as the rate of proline or glycine betaine increased under both individual and interaction statistical analysis. In other words, the combined treatment of proline (150 ml L^{-1}) and GB (250 mg L^{-1}) demonstrated the lowest values of MDA and acidity.

Treatments		TS	S %	Total s	ugar %	Acidity %		
Ireat	ments	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	
			Effect	of proline ami	no acid concer	ntrations		
Control (wit	hout)	7.51	7.67	5.99	6.11	0.731	0.742	
Proline (75 n	1 L⁻¹)	7.96	8.03	6.21	6.34	0.706	0.721	
Proline (100	ml L ⁻¹)	8.19	8.35	6.35	6.48	0.694	0.706	
Proline (150	ml L ⁻¹)	8.29	8.47	6.40	6.54	0.685	0.702	
LSD at 5%		0.09	0.21	0.09	0.05	0.009	0.009	
			Effect	of glycine betai	ne (GB) concer	ntrations		
Control (wit	hout)	7.25	7.39	5.82	5.98	0.742	0.755	
GB (150 mg]	L ⁻¹)	7.94	8.03	6.22	6.34	0.721	0.725	
GB (200 mg	L-1)	8.32	8.49	6.42	6.53	0.706	0.699	
GB (250 mg	L-1)	8.44	8.60	6.50	6.61	0.702	0.691	
LSD at 5%		0.09	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.008	0.007	
proline level	GB level			Effect of	interaction			
Control	Control	7.00	7.12	5.65	5.80	0.750	0.765	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	7.56	7.74	6.04	6.20	0.730	0.747	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	7.70	7.84	6.09	6.17	0.725	0.731	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	7.80	7.95	6.18	6.28	0.719	0.726	
75 mg L ⁻¹	Control	7.19	7.34	5.77	5.94	0.746	0.761	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	7.88	7.70	6.21	6.32	0.711	0.725	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	8.32	8.50	6.41	6.50	0.687	0.702	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	8.44	8.57	6.45	6.58	0.682	0.698	
100 mg L ⁻¹	Control	7.31	7.46	5.89	6.03	0.739	0.744	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	8.11	8.26	6.28	6.40	0.705	0.719	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	8.61	8.79	6.58	6.71	0.672	0.685	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	8.73	8.88	6.66	6.78	0.662	0.675	
150 mg L ⁻¹	Control	7.48	7.63	5.97	6.14	0.732	0.752	
	150 mg L ⁻¹	8.23	8.40	6.33	6.45	0.687	0.710	
	200 mg L ⁻¹	8.66	8.84	6.62	6.75	0.666	0.679	
	250 mg L ⁻¹	8.80	8.99	6.69	6.82	0.653	0.665	
LSD at 5%		0.17	0.24	0.11	0.14	0.016	0.013	

Table (7). Effects of different concentrations of proline and glycine betaine on total soluble solids
(TSS), total sugars and acidity in fruits of strawberry plant during 2021/2022 and
2022/2023 seasons

Treatments		Vitan (mg/100 weiş)g fresh	Anthocyanin (mg /100g fresh weight)		Malondia (MAD) fresh w	(µmol/g	Catalase (CAT) (unit /g/min.)	
		1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season
				Effect of pr	oline amin	o acid conc	centration	s	
Control (without)		53.25	53.61	54.93	56.67	8.20	8.22	3.70	3.77
Proline (7	75 ml L ⁻¹)	54.39	55.09	56.51	58.21	7.42	7.50	4.13	4.18
Proline (10	0 ml L ⁻¹)	55.09	56.03	57.25	59.01	6.95	7.02	4.34	4.40
Proline (1	50 ml L ⁻¹)	55.41	56.33	57.60	59.36	6.71	6.81	4.45	4.49
LSD	at 5%	0.73	0.30	0.93	0.96	0.14	0.08	0.05	0.11
				Effect of gly	cine betain	e (GB) conc	entration	s	
Control (without)		52.25	52.62	53.88	55.59	8.56	8.67	3.44	3.47
GB (150	mg L ⁻¹)	54.35	55.09	56.52	58.24	7.47	7.56	4.13	4.18
GB (200	mg L ⁻¹)	55.51	56.47	57.75	59.53	6.75	6.85	4.47	4.53
GB (250	mg L ⁻¹)	56.02	56.89	58.14	59.89	6.50	6.47	4.59	4.64
LSD	at 5%	0.66	0.17	0.68	0.71	0.21	0.09	0.09	0.09
Proline level	GB level				Effect of i	nteraction			
Control	Control	52.59	51.75	52.96	54.60	8.75	8.85	3.17	3.20
	150 mg L ⁻¹	53.22	53.86	55.21	57.08	8.04	8.14	3.77	3.85
	200 mg L ⁻¹	53.42	54.18	55.62	57.39	7.92	8.03	3.90	3.98
	250 mg L ⁻¹	53.76	54.66	55.95	57.63	8.07	7.86	3.98	4.04
75 mg L ⁻¹	Control	51.78	52.44	53.71	55.53	8.61	8.70	3.39	3.42
	150 mg L ⁻¹	54.48	55.19	56.35	57.92	7.57	7.66	4.08	4.14
	200 mg L ⁻¹	55.47	56.20	57.84	59.63	6.82	6.91	4.46	4.50
	250 mg L ⁻¹	55.81	56.55	58.12	59.74	6.66	6.72	4.59	4.64
100 mg L ⁻¹	Control	52.13	53.01	54.22	56.01	8.50	8.63	3.50	3.55
	150 mg L ⁻¹	54.69	55.41	57.04	58.69	7.21	7.30	4.26	4.32
	200 mg L ⁻¹	56.41	57.62	58.64	60.40	6.24	6.33	4.74	4.79
	250 mg L ⁻¹	57.12	58.08	59.09	60.92	5.83	5.80	4.87	4.94
150 mg L ⁻¹	Control	52.49	53.29	54.63	56.22	8.36	8.48	3.69	3.73
	150 mg L ⁻¹	55.02	55.90	57.48	59.27	7.04	7.14	4.38	4.42
	200 mg L ⁻¹	56.75	57.86	58.91	60.68	6.02	6.12	4.80	4.85
	250 mg L ⁻¹	57.40	58.28	59.39	61.29	5.43	5.51	4.92	4.95
LSD	at 5%	1.32	0.35	1.36	1.41	0.42	0.18	0.20	0.17

Table (8). Effects of different concentrations of proline and glycine betaine on Vitamin C,Anthocyanin, Malondialdehyde and Catalase in fruits of strawberry plant during2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons

DISCUSSION

The results of this investigation demonstrated the potential of proline in combination with glycine betaine (GB) for enhancing salinity stress tolerance in strawberry plants. Proline played a vital role in mitigating the detrimental effects of salinity on strawberry leaves, primarily by serving as an osmoprotectant and a compatible solute. In strawberries grown on salt-affected soil, proline may act as an osmoprotectant, antioxidant, compatible solute and regulator of ion homeostasis (**Ibrahim** *et al.*, **2023**). Through its accumulation in leaves and these various functions, proline helps maintain cellular water balance, stabilize proteins and cellular structures, scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS) and regulate ion levels. These combined mechanisms contribute to the enhanced tolerance of leaves to stress under saline conditions. Similarly, glycine betaine (GB) may also function as an osmoprotectant in strawberry plants, aiding in osmoregulation and stress adaptation (**Annunziata** *et al.*, **2019**). When exposed to salinity, GB has the ability to accumulate in the cytoplasm of strawberry plants, acting as an osmolyte.

The observed increase in catalase values in strawberry fruits with higher rates of proline or glycine betaine can be attributed to their potential role as enzymatic antioxidants, as proline and glycine betaine possess strong antioxidant characteristics and can act as ROS scavengers in strawberry plant cells. When applied individually, proline and glycine betaine enhance the activity of catalase, which is involved in the breakdown of H_2O_2 and O_2 . As the rates of proline or glycine betaine increase, more of these antioxidants are available in the plant cells, leading to higher catalase activity. Moreover, when proline and glycine betaine are combined at specific concentrations, such as proline (150 ml L⁻¹) and glycine betaine (250 mg L⁻¹) in this case, they may synergistically enhance the antioxidant capacity of the strawberry plant. This synergistic impact could further boost catalase activity, resulting in the observed maximum values. Overall, the increased catalase activity with higher rates of proline or glycine betaine, both individually and in combination, suggests their role in promoting the enzymatic antioxidant defense system of the strawberry plants (**Annunziata** *et al.*, **2019 and Ibrahim** *et al.*, **2023**).

The results of this research trial provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of both proline and glycine betaine in mitigating the harmful impacts of soil salinity on strawberry cultivation. The findings demonstrate improvements in growth performance and yield indicators when these osmoprotectants were applied externally at various rates. The observed increase in growth performance parameters (*e.g.*, plant height, number of leaves), as well as quantitative and qualitative yield indicators (*e.g.*, fruit weight, total yield, anthocyanin pigment) due to the combined treatment of proline (150 ml L⁻¹) and GB (250 mg L⁻¹), can be attributed to the role of proline and glycine betaine in maintaining cell turgor and stability.it is known that, under salinity stress, strawberry plants experience water deficits due to increased osmotic potential in the soil. By applying both proline and glycine betaine, the strawberry plants were better equipped to regulate water balance, resulting in improved growth performance, thus improved quantitative and qualitative yield. In other words, the salinity stress of the studied soil negatively affects strawberry plant metabolism, nutrient uptake, and reproductive processes, leading to reduced total yield. The exogenous application of proline and glycine betaine helped to alleviate these adverse effects, promoting higher fruit yield and quality.

The observed decrease in acidity levels with increasing rates of proline or glycine betaine can be explained by their influence on the physiological processes and metabolism of the strawberry plants (**Bingöl** *et al.*, 2020). Acidity in fruits is primarily attributed to organic acids, such as citric acid and malic acid (**Yosefi** *et al.*, 2020). Proline and glycine betaine are known to have regulatory effects on various metabolic pathways, including those related to organic acid synthesis and degradation (**Bahmani** *et al.*, 2022). When the plants were treated with higher rates of proline or glycine betaine, it is possible that these substances influenced the activity of enzymes involved in organic acid metabolism (**Adak**, 2019). This could lead to a reduction in the production or accumulation of organic acids in the fruits, thereby resulting in decreased acidity levels (**Nada**, 2020). Moreover, the combined treatment of proline (150 ml L⁻¹) and glycine betaine (250 mg L⁻¹) may have exerted a synergistic effect on the

metabolic pathways related to organic acid synthesis and degradation. This synergistic effect could have further suppressed the production or accumulation of organic acids, leading to the lowest acidity levels observed in the combined treatment.

The levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) serve as indicators of oxidative stress in the strawberry plants grown under salinity conditions. The studied salt-affected soil often led to increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Singh *et al.*, 2014), causing lipid peroxidation and cellular damage. In this study, the control treatment without proline or glycine betaine exhibited higher levels of MDA, indicating elevated oxidative stress. In contrast, the combined treatment of proline and glycine betaine demonstrated lower levels of MDA, suggesting reduced oxidative damage. Proline and glycine betaine act as antioxidants, scavenging ROS and protecting cellular structures from oxidative harm. These findings support previous studies (Gerdakaneh *et al.*, 2010; Aras and Eşİtken, 2013; Kahlaoui *et al.*, 2018 and Nada, 2020) that have demonstrated the positive effects of proline and glycine betaine in mitigating salinity-induced stress in various plant species. Finally, it can be said that the properties of both proline and glycine betaine help regulate ion transport, maintain osmotic balance and stabilize cell membranes as well as scavenge ROS (Ntanos *et al.*, 2021 and Alaei & Mahna, 2023). These mechanisms collectively contribute to improved strawberry plant performance and salinity stress tolerance.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results, it can be concluded that the high salinity level of the studied soil adversely affected strawberry plant growth, yield, and oxidative stress indicators. However, the external application of proline and glycine betaine (GB) proved to be beneficial in mitigating the harmful effects of salinity. Increasing the application rates of proline and GB resulted in improved growth performance, quantitative and qualitative yield parameters, and reduced oxidative stress in strawberry plants. Notably, the combined treatment of proline (150 ml L^{-1}) and GB (250 mg L^{-1}) exhibited the most favorable outcomes across the studied parameters.

Finally, the following recommendations can be made: Strawberry growers should consider using external applications of proline and glycine betaine to alleviate the adverse effects of salt-affected soil on strawberry crops. The recommended rates of 150 mL^{-1} for proline and $250 \text{ mg} \text{ L}^{-1}$ for glycine betaine have shown promising results in terms of growth, yield, and oxidative stress reduction. Additional studies should be conducted to investigate the long-term effects and sustainability of proline and glycine betaine applications on strawberry crops. Glycine betaine implementing these recommendations, strawberry growers can enhance their production of strawberries in salt-affected areas, mitigate the negative influences of soil salinity, ensure sustainable agricultural practices and ensure the continued success and profitability of this important crop in the Egyptian market.

REFERENCES

Abd-Elgawad, M. M. (2019). Plant-parasitic nematodes of strawberry in Egypt: a review. Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 43: 1-13.

Abd-El-Kareem, F.; Elshahawy, I. E. and Abd-Elgawad, M. M. (2022). Native bacteria for field biocontrol of black root rot in Egyptian strawberry. Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 46(1): 82.

Adak, N. A. (2019). Effects of glycine betaine concentrations on the agronomic characteristics of strawberry grown under deficit irrigation conditions. Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res., 17: 3753-3767.

Alaei, S. and Mahna, N. (2023). Influence of exogenous application of glycine betaine on growth and ion accumulation in strawberry plants under saline condition. Inter. J. Hort. Sci. Techn., 10(1): 41-52.

Alfosea-Simón, M.; Zavala-Gonzalez, E. A.; Camara-Zapata, J. M.; Martínez-Nicolás, J. J.; Simón, I.; Simón-Grao, S. and García-Sánchez, F. (2020). Effect of foliar application of amino acids

on the salinity tolerance of tomato plants cultivated under hydroponic system. Scientia Horticulturae, 272: 109509.

Alici, E. H. and Arabaci, G. (2016). Determination of SOD, POD, PPO and cat enzyme activities in *Rumex obtusifolius* L. Annual Research & Review in Biology, 1-7.

Annunziata, M. G.; Ciarmiello, L. F.; Woodrow, P.; Dell'Aversana, E. and Carillo, P. (2019). Spatial and temporal profile of glycine betaine accumulation in plants under abiotic stresses. Frontiers in plant science, 10: 230.

AOAC, (2000). Official Methods of Analysis^{*}. 18th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, Method 04.

Aras, S. and Eşİtken, A. (2013). Effects of antifreeze proteins and glycine betaine on strawberry plants for resistance to cold temperature. International Proceedings of Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering (IPCBEE), 60: 107-111.

Arıkan, Ş.; İpek, M.; Eşitken, A.; Pırlak, L.; Dönmez, M. F. and Turan, M. (2020). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria mitigate deleterious combined effects of salinity and lime in soil in strawberry plants. J. Plant Nutrition, 43(13): 2028-2039.

Bahmani, R.; Razavi, F.; Mortazavi, S. N.; Gohari, G. and Juárez-Maldonado, A. (2022). Evaluation of proline-coated chitosan nanoparticles on decay control and quality preservation of strawberry fruit (cv. Camarosa) during cold storage. Horticulturae, 8(7): 648.

Bingöl, A.; Türkyılmaz, M. and Özkan, M. (2022). Increase in thermal stability of strawberry anthocyanins with amino acid copigmentation. Food Chemistry, 384: 132518.

Crecente-Campo, J.; Nunes-Damaceno, M.; Romero-Rodríguez, M. A. And Vázquez-Odériz, M. L. (2012). Color, anthocyanin pigment, ascorbic acid and total phenolic compound determination in organic versus conventional strawberries (*Fragaria× ananassa* Duch, cv Selva). Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 28(1): 23-30.

Dane, J. H. and Topp, C. G. (2020). Methods of soil analysis", Part 4: Physical methods (Vol. 20). John Wiley & Sons.

El-Agrodi, M.; EL-Shebiny, G.; Mosa, A. and El-Sherpiny, M. (2016). Maize tolerance to different levels of boron and salinity in irrigation water. J. Soil Sci. Agric. Eng., 7(1): 35-44.

El-Hadidi, E. M.; El-Sherpiny, M. A.; Ezzat, S. and Abo El-Ezz, S. F. (2020). Response of barley grown on different soils to soil amendments. J. Soil Sci. Agric. Eng., 11(8): 347-353.

Gerdakaneh, M.; Mozafari, A., Khalighi, A. and Sioseh-Mardah, A. (2010). The effects of exogenous proline and osmotic stress on morpho-biochemical parameters of strawberry callus. Afr.J. Biotechn., 9(25): 3775-3779.

Ghazi, D. A.; El-Ghamry, A. M.; El-Sherpiny, M. A. and Alla, A. N. (2021). Response of sugar beet plants to nitrogen and titanium under salinity conditions. Plant Cell Biotechn. Mol. Bio., 82-94.

Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1984). "Statistical procedures for agricultural research". John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.pp:680.

Heuer, B. (2003). Influence of exogenous application of proline and glycinebetaine on growth of salt-stressed tomato plants. Plant Science, 165(4): 693-699.

Ibrahim, F. R.; El-Sherpiny, M. A. and Ghazi, D. A. (2023). Response of feverfew plant to different plant residues compost and amino acids. J. Plant Production, 14(3): 141-147.

Kahlaoui, B.; Hachicha, M.; Misle, E.; Fidalgo, F. and Teixeira, J. (2018). Physiological and biochemical responses to the exogenous application of proline of tomato plants irrigated with saline water. J. the Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci., 17(1): 17-23.

Larson, K. D. (2018). Strawberry. In Handbook of environmental physiology of fruit crops (pp. 271-297). CRC Press.

Malhat, F.; Saber, E. S.; Amin, A. S.; Anagnostopoulos, C. and Abdelsalam, S. S. (2020). Magnitude of picoxystrobin residues in strawberry under Egyptian conditions: dissipation pattern and consumer risk assessment. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 37(6): 973-982.

Mendes, R.; Cardoso, C. and Pestana, C. (2009). Measurement of malondialdehyde in fish: A comparison study between HPLC methods and the traditional spectrophotometric test. Food Chemistry, 112(4): 1038-1045.

Mugwanya, M.; Kimera, F.; Dawood, M. and Sewilam, H. (2023). Elucidating the effects of combined treatments of salicylic acid and 1-Proline on greenhouse-grown cucumber under saline drip irrigation. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation, 42(3): 1488-1504.

Nada, M. M. (2020). Effect of foliar application with potassium silicate and glycine betaine on growth and early yield quality of strawberry plants. Journal of Plant Production, 11(12): 1295-1302.

Ntanos, E.; Kekelis, P.; Assimakopoulou, A.; Gasparatos, D.; Denaxa, N. K.; Tsafouros, A. and Roussos, P. A. (2021). Amelioration effects against salinity stress in strawberry by bentonite–zeolite mixture, glycine betaine, and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens in terms of plant growth, nutrient content, soil properties, yield, and fruit quality characteristics. Appl. Sci., 11(19): 8796.

Picazo, A.; Rochera, C.; Vicente, E.; Miracle, M. R. and Camacho, A. (2013). Spectrophotometric methods for the determination of photosynthetic pigments in stratified lakes: a critical analysis based on comparisons with HPLC determinations in a model lake. Limnetica, 32(1): 139-158.

Shamsabad, M. R. M.; Esmaeilizadeh, M.; Roosta, H. R.; Dehghani, M. R.; Dąbrowski, P. and Kalaji, H. M. (2022). The effect of supplementary light on the photosynthetic apparatus of strawberry plants under salinity and alkalinity stress. Scientific Reports, 12(1): 13257.

Singh, Z.; Karthigesu, I. P.; Singh, P. and Rupinder, K.A.U.R. (2014). Use of malondialdehyde as a biomarker for assessing oxidative stress in different disease pathologies: a review. Iranian Journal of Public Health, 43(3): 7-16.

Soleymanzadeh, R.; Iranbakhsh, A.; Habibi, G. and Ardebili, Z. O. (2020). Selenium nanoparticle protected strawberry against salt stress through modifications in salicylic acid, ion homeostasis, antioxidant machinery, and photosynthesis performance. Acta Biologica Cracoviensia s. Botanica, 62(1): 33–42.

Sparks, D. L.; Page, A. L.; Helmke, P. A. and Loeppert, R. H. (2020). Methods of soil analysis, part 3: Chemical methods (Vol. 14). John Wiley & Sons.

Yosefi, A.; Mozafari, A. A. and Javadi, T. (2020). Jasmonic acid improved in vitro strawberry (*Fragaria*× *ananassa* Duch.) resistance to PEG-induced water stress. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC), 142: 549-558.

Zahedi, S. M.; Hosseini, M. S.; Abadía, J. and Marjani, M. (2020). Melatonin foliar sprays elicit salinity stress tolerance and enhance fruit yield and quality in strawberry (*Fragaria*× *ananassa* Duch.). Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 149: 313-323.



© The Author(s). 2022 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise