

Available online free at www.futurejornals.org

The Future Journal of Agriculture

Print ISSN: 2687-8151 Online ISSN: 2687-8216 Future Science Association



DOI: 10.37229/fsa.fja.2019.09.19

Future J. Agric., 3 (2019) **34-45** 

# **RESPONSE OF WONDERFUL POMEGRANATE TO PRE-HARVEST SPRAY BY POTASSIUM, IRON AND BORON AS ALTERNATIVE TO FERTIGATION**

# Hamdy, I.M. Ibrahim<sup>1,\*</sup>, Nour A. Abdou<sup>2</sup> and Moustafa O.A. Omar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., **Egypt** <sup>2</sup>Decidous fruit Res. Dept., Horticultural Res. Inst., ARC, **Egypt.** 

\*Corresponding author: hamdy\_france@yahoo.com Received: 20 August 2019 ; Accepted: 19 Sept. 2019

**ABSTRACT:** During 2017 and 2018 seasons, Wonderful pomegranate treated with potassium sulphate ( $K_2SO_4$ ) and/or ferrous sulphate (FeSO\_4) and boric acid ( $H_3BO_3$ ). The main object was examining the effect of these three compounds and their interaction on trees flowering, yield and leaf mineral content. Treating the trees three times with  $K_2SO_4$ , FeSO\_4and  $H_3BO_3$  caused a remarkable stimulation on number of total and perfect flowers, compared with untreated control. Furthermore, treating the trees with  $K_2SO_4$  and/or FeSO\_4&  $H_3BO_3$  caused increasing yield (Kg/tree) and very effective in enhancing leaf N, P & K%. The combined application of the three compounds was superior than the single application of each compound on enhancing all flowering aspects and yield and improved leaves NPK. For enhancing flowering, crop of yields and leaf mineral contents (NPK) of Wonderful pomegranate, it is suggested to spray the trees with a mixture of  $K_2SO_4$  and/or FeSO\_4 and  $H_3BO_3$  three times yearly during the fruit growth cycle.

Key words: Wonderful pomegranate, yield, flowering, potassium, iron, boron.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Pomegranate trees (Punica granatum L.) belongs to Myrtales order and Punicaceae family, which is mainly grown in subtropical and tropical regions (Al-Yahai et al., 2009; Saeedi et al., 2012; Davarpanah et al., 2017 and Omar, 2014). The fruits are consumed fresh (grains) or they are used in different industrial purposes such as juice, jams, jelly, cocktail syrup, and wines. They are considered as a healthy food because of the high content of antioxidant compounds (Legua et al., 2012). pomegranate is one of the oldest known edible fruits and is capable of growing under different agroclimatic conditions ranging from the tropical to subtropical (Holland et al., 2001 & 2009; Drogoudiet al., 2012 and Abou El-Wafa, 2015). The pomegranate is native to the subtropics and mild temperate regions.

The total acreage of pomegranate in Egypt reached 34450 fad. out of them 11752 fad., is fruitful producing about 106260 tons with an average of 9.42 tons/fad. (Statistics of the Ministry of Agriculture, 2015).

Foliar sprays with fertilizers including macro and micro nutrients such as potassium (K), iron (Fe) and boron(B) have been shown to be convenient for field use, have a good effectiveness and very rapid plant response (Fernández et al., 2013 and Laegreid et al., (1999). The foliar application of mineral nutrients using sprays, offers a method of supplying nutrients to higher plants more efficiently than methods involving root application when soil conditions are not suitable for Fe availability (Fernandez et al., 2006; Erdal et al., 2004), also, foliar fertilizers help to avoid toxicity symptoms that may occur after soil application of the same microelements.Potassium has a definite role in the fruit crops nutrition. It activates the enzymes, root growth, proteins, diseases resistance, shelf-life of fruits, water turgor, photosynthesis, water and nutrient uptake, salinity and drought resistances. It reduces respiration, energy, and water loss and incidence of pests and regulates the opening and closing of stomata. It has a higher roles in the biosynthesis and translocation of sugars (Yagodin, 1990; Marschner 1997; Cakamak, 2005 and Mengel 1985 & 2007). Iron (Fe) has an important role as a micronutrient element. It conceder as a limiting factor for fruit agricultural production in many areas of the world (Fernandez et al., 2006 and Abadia et al., 2011).

The main target of this study was elucidating the effect of single and complained foliar application of  $K2SO_4$ ,  $FeSO_4$  and  $H_3BO_3$  on flowering, yield and leaf mineral content of pomegranate Wonderful cultivar grown in sandy soil under Minia Governorate conditions.

#### **Materials and Methods:**

The present investigation was conducted during two seasons 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 on one hundred-eight uniform in vigor Wonderful pomegranate trees, grown in private orchard located at the Cairo-Assiut Eastern Desert Road, facing Minia Distract, El- Minia Governorate (250 km southern Cairo city), where the soil texture is sandy, since water table depth is not less than two meters. The chosen pomegranate trees are ten-years old and planted at 4 X 4 meters apart. The formation of the chosen trees is multi trunk (3 trunk/tree) an open vase system with 4 to 6 principal branches and at least two principal layers of production. Winter pruning was followed at the first week of January. Drip irrigation system was adopted. However, irrigation carried by used water supply from underground well with pressure and volume controllers. The chosen trees are subjected to regular horticulture practices that were commonly applied in the orchard including fertilization, (namely: 80 g/tree nitrogen applied in the form of ammonium nitrate "33% N", 245 kg/feddan, calcium superphosphate "15.5%  $P_2O_5$ " 200 kg/feddan and 150 kg/feddan potassium sulphate, as well as irrigation, hoeing and pest management.

**Soil and irrigation water analysis:** The soil where the present experiment carried out was sandy texture (Table 1). A composite sample of soil and irrigation water were collected and subjected to Physical and chemical analysis according to the procedures outlined by **Walsh & Beaton** (1986) and **Buurman** *et al.*, (1996). The data of soil and water sample analyses are shown in Table (1).

Table 1. Physical and chemical analysis of experiment soil and the well water used in irrigation

Soil analy	sis	Water analys	sis		
Constituents	Values	Constituents	Values		
Sand %	79.4	E.C (mmhos/cm)	1.3		
Silt %	12.5	Hardness	19.7		
Clay %	8.1	pН	7.35		
Texture	Sandy	Ca (mg/L)	38.4		
EC(1:2.5 extract) mmhos/cm	5.2	Mg (mg/L)	24.3		
Organic matter %	0.45	K (mg/L)	5.07		
pH (1 : 2.5 extract)	8.29	Na (mg/L)	95.8		
Active lime %	9.0% (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Sum of Cations (mg/L)	8.16		
N (mg/kg)	185	Alkalinity (mg/L)	182		
Phosphorus (ppm)	8.80 ppm	Chlorides (mg/L)	121		
Available Ca (meq/100g)	19.9	Nitrate (mg/L)	11.0		
Available Mg (meq/100g)	2.33	Sulphates (mg/L)	53.1		
Available K (meq/100g)	0.56	Sum of anions (mg/L)	7.69		
C/N Ratio	17.2	SAR	2.97		

Experimental work: In order to study the effect and the suitable concentration of spraying K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, FeSO<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> compounds were tested on Wonderful pomegranate.  $K_2SO_4$  was spraved at four concentrations namely 0.0, 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.5% single or companied with FeSO<sub>4</sub> (at 100 & 200 ppm) or/and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> at (50 & 100 ppm) were tested on Wonderful pomegranate cultivar of the present experiment. The trees were sprayed with K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> on the first of April, May and June, while FeSO4 and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> on mid of April, May and June each season. Then, this study included the following thirty six treatments from two factors (A & B) and its interactions. The first factor (A) comprised from four potassium sulphate concentrations. The second factor (B) comprised from nine concentrations of FeSO<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>. Each treatment was replicated three times, one tree per each. Then the present study included one hundred eight trees. Triton B (at 0.05 g/liter) as a wetting agent was added to all spraying solutions, even control trees.

**Experimental design:** Treatments were arranged in a complete randomized block in a split plot design. However, the potassium sulphate ranked in main plot and ferrous sulphate & Boric acid ranked the split plot, each treatment was replicated three times, and one tree per each was used.

The responses of the tested trees to foliar spray treatments were evaluated through the following parameters:

**Flowering behavior:** During the flowering period, the total number of flowers/tree, perfect flower numbers/tree and mal flower numbers/tree were counted.

**Measurement of total yield:** The fruits were harvested when fruits become fully colored at maturation date (last week of September) in the two experimental seasons. The yield per tree was recorded in terms of weight (kg) and number of fruits per tree, and then fruit yield (kg) per tree was calculated.

## Vegetative growth

- Shoot length (cm)
- Number of new shoot / tree

- Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>): leaf samples were taken from the middle part of the shoot of the spring flush and the leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) was determined by using Plan meter.

# Determination of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium contents in leaves:

16 leaves picked from the medal part of 8 main shoots for each tree were taken at the middle of June during the three seasons. The blades were separated and discarded and the petioles only were saved for determining different nutrients. The petioles washed with distillated water and dried at air and oven dried and grounded, then 0.5 g weight was digested using  $H_2SO_4$  and  $H_2O_2$  until clear solution was obtained (**Martin-Prévalet al., 1984**). The digested solution was quantitatively transferred to 100 ml volumetric flask and completed to 100 ml by distilled water. Thereafter, contents of Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K) for each sample were determined according to the method described by **Walsh and Beaton (1986**).

**Statistical analysis of data:** All the obtained data were tabulated and subjected for the proper statistical analysis; by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the statistical package MSTATC Program. Comparisons between means were made by the F-test and least significant differences (New L.S.D) at p = 0.05 (**Snedecor and Cochran, 1990**).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Flowering behavior**

Data in Table (2) show the compound sprayed or the concentration used, during the first season, spraying the three examined compounds  $K_2SO_4$ , FeSO<sub>4</sub>, and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> failed to vary the flowering behaviors of pomegranate trees significantly. While, during the second season spraying the three examined compounds at different concentrations were significant improving the flowering behaviors. However, total flower numbers/tree, perfect flower numbers/tree were promoted with increasing the concentrations of  $K_2SO_4$  from 0.0% to 1.5%.

Regarding the single or combined sprays of FeSO<sub>4</sub> and  $H_3BO_3$  show the number of total flowers/tree and bisexual flowers number/tree varied significantly during the second season. However, increasing the concentration used of FeSO<sub>4</sub> from 100 to 200 ppm and  $H_3BO_3$  from 50 to 100 ppm remarkable enhanced the total number of flowers and perfect flowers number. Furthermore, regardless the concentration, the combination  $K_2SO_4$  plus  $H_3BO_3$  remains more favorable effect in total number of flowers and bisexual flower numbers than

those of  $K_2SO_4$  plus FeSO<sub>4</sub>. Data concerning the second season showed that, regarding the interaction between the three examined compounds recorded significant promotion. However, the trees treated with  $K_2SO_4$ , FeSO<sub>4</sub> and  $H_3BO_3$  at high concentrations exhibited the highest numbers of total flowers and perfect flowers (518 total flowers/tree and 219 bisexual flowers/tree) during the second season respectively. While, the untreated trees produced unfavorable effect and least total flower numbers and perfect flower

It's well known that, potassium is vital to several areas of plant growth, including drought tolerance, disease resistance, stem strength, improved texture, and photosynthesis processes. These important roles of potassium can promote flowering behavior of Wonderful pomegranate trees. However, boron is especially immobile in most plant tissues and will not readily move from other parts of the fruit tree to the flower buds when it is needed for pollen tube growth, pollen production and other reproductive functions. So, Foliar sprays of boron during the flower bud formation and differentiation will ensure an adequate supply of boron during the critical stages of flowering and fruit development, may be lead to increasing total number of flowers and bisexual flower numbers/tree (Mengle 1985; Bambal et al., 1991; Marschner, 1997; Cakamak 2005; Mengle 2007; Davarpanah et al., 2013 and Dalal et al., 2017).

# Total yield (kg) and its components

It is noticed from the obtained data in Table (3) that, treating Wonderful pomegranate trees with  $K_2SO_4$  (at 0.05% to 1.5%), FeSO<sub>4</sub> (at 100 & 200 ppm), and  $H_3BO_3$  (at 50 & 100 ppm) had significantly improved the fruit weight in the two seasons compared with untreated trees. The trees were sprayed with high concentration of  $K_2SO_4$  (1.5%) recorded highest fruit weight (g) compared to untreated trees with any compound which gave the least values in the two seasons.

The interactions between  $K_2SO_4$ , FeSO<sub>4</sub>, and  $H_3BO_3$  treatments were significant on fruit weight (g) in the two seasons. The highest fruit weight (449 and 431 g) were produced from spraying trees with  $K_2SO_4$  at higher level (1.5%) in combination with FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 200 ppm plus  $H_3BO_3$  at 100 ppm. While, the least values were recorded from trees sprayed with  $K_2SO_4$  at 0.0% x  $H_3BO_3$  and FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 0.0 ppm (329 g) in the first season and those sprayed with  $K_2SO_4$  at 0.0% x  $H_3BO_3$  at 50 ppm and 0.0 ppm FeSO<sub>4</sub> (335 g) in the second one.

The obtained results concerning the effect of K, Fe and B on yield, fruit number/trees as well as fruit weight are in accordance with those obtained by Mengle, 2007; Omar (2015); Ibrahim and Al-Wasfy (2014); Mohamed (2014) and Abo-Ali (2019).

		Total	flowers n	umber			Bisexu	al flowers	s number		Mal flowers number					
Treatments	First Season (2017)						Firs	t Season	(2017)		First Season (2017)					
	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	
Fe 0.0 + B 0.0 ppm	401.0	405.0	406.0	411.0	406.0	135.0	136.0	149.0	142.0	140.5	266.0	269.0	257.0	269.0	265.3	
Fe 100 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	402.0	404.0	405.0	405.0	404.0	136.0.	127.0	139.0	134.0	134.5	266.0	277.0	266.0	271.0	270.0	
Fe 200 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	409.0	407.0	409.0	404.0	407.0	137.0	139.0	140.0	144.0	139.8	272.0	268.0	269.0	260.0	267.3	
B 50 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	402.0	411.0	403.0	407.0	406.0	138.0	138.0	150.0	151.0	141.7	264.0	279.0	253.0	256.0	263.0	
B 100 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	409.0	408.0	412.0	409.0	410.0	141.0	146.0	141.0	142.0	142.5	268.0	262.0	271.0	267.0	267.0	
Fe 100 + B 50 ppm	404.0	405.0	409.0	406.0	406.0	133.0	144.0	142.0	151.0	144.5	271.0	261.0	267.0	255.0	263.5	
Fe 100 ppm + B 100 ppm	409.0	409.0	407.0	406.0	408.0	142.0	145.0	150.0	147.0	146.0	267.0	264.0	257.0	259.0	261.8	
Fe 200 ppm + B 50 ppm	410.0	400.0	409.0	409.0	407.0	144.0	150.0	143.0	150.0	146.3	266.0	250.0	266.0	259.0	260.3	
Fe 200 ppm + B 100 ppm	409.0	408.0	411.0	406.0	409.0	143.0	143.0	152.0	151.0	148.0	266.0	261.0	259.0	255.0	260.3	
Mean A	406.0	406.0	408.0	407.0		138.7	140.9	145.1	145.0		267.3	265.7	268.0	261.2		
New LSD 5%	А	= NS ;	B= NS	; AB= N	S		A= NS	; B= NS	; AB= NS			A= NS ;	B= NS	; AB=NS		
	Second Season (2018)						Secor	nd Season	a ( <b>2018</b> )		Second Season (2018)					
Treatments	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	
Fe 0.0 + B 0.0 ppm	400.0	459.0	459.0	461.0	445.0	121.0	126.0	131.0	133.0	127.8	279.0	333.0	328.0	328.0	317.0	
Fe 100 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	414.0	464.0	468.0	473.0	455.0	131.0	127.0	133.0	143.0	133.5	283.0	337.0	335.0	330.0	321.3	
Fe 200 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	416.0	467.0	469.0	477.0	457.0	131.0	138.0	144.0	145.0	139.5	285.0	329.0	325.0	332.0	317.8	
B 50 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	418.0	469.0	477.0	482.0	465.0	147.0	159.0	162.0	169.0	159.3	271.0	310.0	315.0	313.0	302.3	
B 100 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	422.0	479.0	485.0	493.0	470.0	171.0	177.0	187.0	197.0	183.0	251.0	302.0	298.0	296.0	286.8	
Fe 100 + B 50 ppm	451.0	482.0	479.0	499.0	478.0	164.0	169.0	178.0	189.0	175.0	287.0	313.0	301.0	310.0	302.7	
Fe 100 ppm + B 100 ppm	457.0	488.0	495.0	505.0	486.0	173.0	189.0	195.0	209.0	191.5	284.0	299.0	300.0	296.0	294.8	
Fe 200 ppm + B 50 ppm	459.0	495.0	499.0	512.0	491.0	171.0	187.0	189.0	212.0	189.3	288.0	308.0	310.0	300.0	301.5	
Fe 200 ppm + B 100 ppm	469.0	498.0	505.0	518.0	498.0	191.0	199.0	212.0	219.0	205.3	278.	299.0	293.0	299.0	292.3	
Mean A	433.0	478.0	481.0	491.0		141.0	164.3	170.1	179.5		278.4	314.4	311.7	311.6		
New LSD 5%		A=10.2 ;	B=13.5	; AB= 19.7	,	A	= 7.6 ;	B=11.2 ;	AB= 16. 5	53		A=NS;	B=N;	AB= 21.93		

Table 2. Effect of spraying potassium sulphate, ferrous sulphate, and boric acid on number of total flowers, number of bisexual flowers and number
of mal flowers, of pomegranate cv. Wonderful, during 2017 and 2018 seasons

		Numb	er of fru	its/tree			ıit weigh	t (g)		Yield (kg)/tree						
Treatments	First Season (2017)						First	Season (	(2017)		First Season (2017)					
	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	
Fe 0.0 + B 0.0 ppm	43.00	43.00	40.00	45.00	42.75	329.00	339.00	365.00	374.00	351.80	14.30	14.70	14.02	16.08	14.81	
Fe 100 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	42.00	41.00	40.00	44.00	41.75	359.00	365.00	371.00	389.00	371.00	15.10	14.90	14.84	17.12	15.50	
Fe 200 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	39.00	48.00	46.00	48.00	45.25	369.00	388.00	403.00	412.00	393.00	14.40	18.60	18.54	19.78	17.83	
B 50 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	41.00	46.00	45.00	43.00	43.75	345.00	361.00	387.00	389.00	370.50	14.20	16.60	17.42	16.73	16.23	
B 100 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	40.00	44.00	40.00	46.00	42.50	349.00	379.00	399.00	403.00	382.50	13.80	16.70	15.96	18.54	16.29	
Fe 100 + B 50 ppm	44.00	40.00	43.00	42.00	42.25	369.00	387.00	392.00	415.00	390.80	16.20	15.50	16.86	17.43	16.50	
Fe 100 ppm + B 100 ppm	43.00	46.00	45.00	44.00	44.50	377.00	411.00	409.00	414.00	402.80	16.20	18.90	18.41	18.22	17.94	
Fe 200 ppm + B 50 ppm	42.00	41.00	44.00	43.00	42.50	389.00	420.00	431.00	439.00	419.80	16.40	17.40	18.96	18.53	17.76	
Fe 200 ppm + B 100 ppm	43.00	45.00	45.00	44.00	44.25	406.00	423.00	443.00	449.00	430.30	17.60	19.40	19.05	19.76	18.95	
Mean A	41.89	43.78	43.14	44.33		365.30	384.90	400.00	409.30		15.27	16.98	17.12	18.02		
New LSD 5%	A	= NS ;	B= NS	; <b>AB</b> = 1	NS	A=	13.20 ;	B= 15.30	; AB=	22.30	A=	1.67 ;	B= 2.31	; AB=	3.35	
	Second Season (2018)						Secon	d Season	(2018)		Second Season (2018)					
Treatments	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	
Fe 0.0 + B 0.0 ppm	44.00	48.00	53.00	55.00	50.00	339.00	349.00	355.00	364.00	351.80	14.90	17.50	18.80	20.00	17.80	
Fe 100 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	44.00	48.00	55.00	59.00	51.50	348.00	355.00	371.00	389.00	365.80	15.20	16.30	20.40	22.90	18.67	
Fe 200 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	47.00	50.00	60.00	61.00	54.50	359.00	378.00	403.00	412.00	388.00	16.80	18.90	24.20	25.20	21.27	
B 50 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	49.00	51.00	62.00	67.00	57.30	335.00	355.00	387.00	389.00	366.50	16.40	18.20	23.90	26.10	21.17	
B 100 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	51.00	55.00	61.00	63.00	57.50	340.00	369.00	389.00	398.00	374.00	17.30	20.30	23.70	25.10	21.61	
Fe 100 + B 50 ppm	53.00	60.00	67.00	70.00	62.50	352.00	377.00	390.00	406.00	380.80	18.70	22.60	26.10	28.40	21.46	
Fe 100 ppm + B 100 ppm	57.00	60.00	68.00	73.00	64.50	362.00	399.00	411.00	418.00	397.50	20.60	23.90	27.90	30.50	25.76	
Fe 200 ppm + B 50 ppm	61.00	63.00	70.00	73.00	66.80	377.00	401.00	421.00	429.00	407.00	22.90	25.30	29.50	31.30	27.26	
Fe 200 ppm + B 100 ppm	62.00	66.00	71.00	74.00	68.30	381.00	412.00	429.00	431.00	413.30	23.60	27.20	30.50	31.90	28.29	
Mean A	52.00	55.70	63.00	66.10		354.80	377.20	395.10	404.00		18.50	21.13	25.02	26.82		
New LSD 5%	A	A= 2.55 ;	B= 2.99 ;	AB= 4.8	35	A=	14.40;	B= 13.90	; AB= 2	0.30	Α	= 2.25 ;	B= 3.34	; AB= 4.	.88	

Table 3. Effect of spraying potassium sulphate, ferrous sulphate, and boric acid on fruit numbers/tree, fruit weight (g	g) and yield (kg)/tree of
pomegranate cv. Wonderful, during 2017 and 2018 seasons	

# Vegetative growth

#### Shoot lengths (cm)

Obtained data in Table (4) show that the main shoot lengths of Wonderful pomegranate were remarkable increased due to sprayed the potassium sulphate, Fe-sulphate and boric acid in the two experimental seasons, in comparison with those of untreated trees. Moreover, such increase was gradually enhanced parallel with the increase in the concentration used. Therefore, the average shoot lengths remarkably enhanced from the first to the second year.

Regardless the concentration used, among the three examined compounds, sprayed the trees with potassium sulphate was superior to the other two compounds used (Fe-sulphate and boric acid), the data tack similar trend during the two experimental seasons.

On the other hand, the least values of shoot length (65.3 and 66.5 cm) were recorded on the untreated trees followed by those treated with boric acid alone at 50 ppm (73.6 and 80.5 cm) in the two experimental seasons respectively.

Regarding the interaction between spraying potassium sulphate and iron sulphate and/or boric acid for shoot lengths, it was significantly in the two experimental seasons as illustrated in Table (4). It is clear that spraying Wonderful pomegranate with potassium sulphate accompanied with Fe-sulphate or/and boric acid as well as Fe-sulphate and boric acid together at 100 ppm give higher shoot lengths rather than control treatment.

It is clear from the same Table that the positive effect FeSO<sub>4</sub> or/and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> has increased whine the trees received it accompanied with potassium sulphate at 0.5% to 1.5%. However, the highest shoot lengths were obtained from the trees treated by potassium sulphate at 1.5% combined with Ferrous sulphate at 200 ppm and boric acid at 100 ppm (96.6 and 104.7 cm) in the two experimental seasons respectively. While the untreated trees present the lowest shoot lengths (65.3 and 66.5 cm respectively) followed by those treated with K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> alone at 1.5% (68.0 and 69.1 cm in the two experimental seasons respectively).

The interaction between potassium concentration and ferrous sulphate, as well as, boric acid treatments was significant for shoot lengths in both experimental seasons (Table 4). The shoot lengths were produced due to supplying Wonderful pomegranate with potassium at high level (1.5%) in combination with FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 100 & 200 ppm and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> at 50 and 100 ppm during both seasons or spraying plant plants with medium level of K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1.0%) in combination with FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 200 ppm and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> in all cases. However, the trees received a mixture of the three elements at highest

concentration produced the highest shoot lengths in both seasons (90.8 and 94.5 cm) respectively.

The stupendous effect of the three examined elements as essential nutrients may be explained by its effect on some important physiological processes in plant. Potassium is required for various biochemical and physiological processes that are responsible for plant growth and development. Potassium also plays critical roles in controlling protein metabolism, enzyme activity, membrane polarization, and various metabolic processes (Arona and singh 1970; Hastings and Gutknecht, 1978; Walker et al., 1996; Schachtman and Shin, 2007; Amtmann et al., 2006; Mengel 1985 & 2007; Sumam et al., 2017 and Hasanuzzman et al., 2018). Additionally, fundamental physiological processes in plants including photosynthesis, photorespiration, and growth are dramatically affected by K+ availability (Gattward et al., 2012; Pettogrew, 2008). Fe and B can stimulate some important enzymes responsible for cell devotion and cell elongation such as Oxidoreductase, peroxidases enzymes Moreover, Yang et al., (2014) confirmed that potassium absorption and accumulation in plant was positive correlated with the level of some growth regulators. However, K, Fe and B treatments stimulate the absorption other important elements by plant roots and consequently nutrients in the soil which became more available to plant (Prajapati and Modi, 2012 and Wassel et al., 2015).

# Number of new shoot / tree

Data obtained during the two experimental seasons as illustrated in Table (4) show the number of leaves per shoot, displayed that, regardless the compound or the concentration used. Nonsignificant differences were observed in the number leaves/shoot in the first experimental season. While, in the second season, all treatments concerning the three examined compounds caused significant increase the leaves number per shoot than those recorded for the control treatment.

Regarding the concentration used, trees treated with potassium sulphate at 1.5% give higher and significant number of leaves/shoot than those treated with other potassium sulphate concentrations. This stimulation was related to increasing in concentration of potassium sulphate from 0.0% to 1.5% (66.6, 69.3, 73.0 and 74.3 leaf/shoot), in the second experimental season, respectively.

The obtained data showed also that all treatment concerning added ferrous sulphate and boric acid each alone or in companied caused a significant increase in the number of leaves per shoot especially in the second season. It is clear also that the combined treatments of  $FeSO_4$  and  $H_3BO_3$  lead to enhance of the number of new shoot per tree than those treated the trees with each one alone.

The interaction between  $K_2SO_4$ , FeSO<sub>4</sub> and  $H_3BO_3$  was significant in the second season (Table 4). It was clear that Fe-sulphate at 100 & 200 ppm and boric acid at 50 & 100 ppm accompanied with potassium sulphate at 0.5% to 1.5% present higher and significant number of leaves/shoot, in the second season. However, the highest number of leaves per shoot (80 leaves/shoot) was observed whine the trees received the mixture of  $K_2SO_4$  at 1.5% + FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 200 ppm +  $H_3BO_3$  at 100 ppm.

# Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>)

Data obtained during the two experimental seasons as illustrated in Table (4) show the Wonderful pomegranate leaf area, displayed that, regardless the compound or the concentration used the three examined compounds caused significant increase the leaf area  $(cm^2)$  rather than the check treatment, in the two experimental seasons.

It is clear from the obtained data that treating Wonderful pomegranate with potassium sulphate at 0.5% to 1.5%, ferrous sulphate at 100 to 200 ppm and boric acid at 50 and 100 ppm significantly was followed by stimulating the leaf area relative to the control treatment. The stimulation on leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) was related to the increase in concentrations of  $K_2SO_4$  from 0.5 to 1%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> from 100 to 100 ppm and boric acid from 50 to 100 ppm. It is worth to mention that the effect of spraying FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 100 or 100 ppm was more effective than spraying boric acid at 50 to 100 ppm in the two experimental seasons (Table 4).

Numerically, increasing the concentrations of  $K_2SO_4$  from 0.5% to 1.5% caused 5.0, 8.5 & 13.0 cm<sup>2</sup> in the first season however; this positive effect was clearer in the second season 5.8, 12.2 and 21.5 cm<sup>2</sup> respectively. Furthermore, the spraying the trees with FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 100 ppm + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm and FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 200 ppm + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm caused remarkable increases in leaf area (20.0 & 40.0 cm2 and 57.4 & 60.7 cm<sup>2</sup>) in the two experimental seasons respectively.

Regarding the interaction between potassium sulphate and ferrous sulphate, as well as, boric acid treatments was significant for leaf area  $(cm^2)$  in the both seasons (Tables, 4). The leaf area was produced due to spraying Wonderful pomegranate trees with potassium sulphate at high level (1.5%) in combination with any of FeSO<sub>4</sub> concentrations during both seasons or at 1.0% with FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 200 ppm in combination with boric acid at 100 ppm in all cases. The highest leaf area level was obtained when the threes received potassium sulphate at 1.5%, ferrous sulphate at 200 ppm and boric acid at 100 ppm (8.95 and 10.68 cm<sup>2</sup>) in the two seasons respectively, while the control treatment present the least leaf area level (6.0 and 6.1 cm<sup>2</sup>) respectively.

In the present study all the treatments (potassium, ferrous sulphate or/and boric acid) caused a remarkable enhancement of shoot lengths, number of leaves per shoot and leaf surface area, these results are true for the two experimental seasons for the shoot lengths but for the second season only for the number of leaves per shoot. Similar results were observed by Wassel et al., 2015, Omar (2016), Davarpah et al., (2013 and 2016), Hamouda et al., (2015), Abd El-Rhman et al., (22017) and Ampem (2017), Bambal et al., (1991) on different pomegranate cultivars, and similar results were observed on other fruit trees by Ibrahim et al., 2009 on grapevines, Dalal et al., (2017), Abo El-Enin (2012) and Ozturk et al., (2019), Gurel and Basar (2016) and Gill et al., (2012) on pear trees, Arona and Singh (1970) on guava trees, Alila et al., (2004) on papaya trees, and Ben-Mimoun and Marchand (2013) on some other deciduous and evergreen fruit trees.

## Leaf mineral contents

Data listed in Table (5) indicated that spraying trees with the highest potassium level (1.5%) produced highest N, P and K content in leaves (1.75 & 1.75% for N, 0.296 & 0.298% for P and 1.62 & 1.61% for K) in the two seasons respectively. Treatment of with FeSO4 at 200 ppm plus H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>100 ppm recorded higher N, P and K% than untreated control and other treatments in both seasons. The trees treated with FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 100 & 200 ppm and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> at 50 and 100 ppm was paralleled with increasing leaves potassium contents rather than non-application. Furthermore, the investigated interaction had a significant effect on the leaves potassium contents. The interactions with spraying K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 1.5% plus FeSO<sub>4</sub> at 200 ppm and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> at 100 ppm was responsible for producing the maximum values of N, P and K% (1.86 & 1.85 % for N, 0.39 & 0.38 % for P and 1.79 & 1.78 % for K). While, untreated trees produced the least values of N, P and K content in the two seasons respectively.

The positive effect of potassium, iron and boron in improving mineral absorption by fruit trees and promoting their content in leaves also has been monitored by many researchers such as: Mohamed (2014); Hamouda *et al.*, (2015) and Abd El-Rhman (2017) on Manfalouty pomegranate, Omar (2015) and Abo Ali (2019) on Wonderful pomegranate, Abadia *et al.* (2011) and Mosa *et al.* (2015) on apple trees, Al Wasfy (2014) on grapevines, Gurel and Basar (2016) on pear trees and Ibrahim and Al Wasfy (2014) and Dalal *et al.* (2017) on orange trees.

		Shoo	t lengths	(cm)		]	Number	of new s	hoot/ tre	e	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )					
Treatments	First Season (2017)						First	t Season (	(2017)		First Season (2017)					
	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	
Fe 0.0 + B 0.0 ppm	65.3	68.0	71.5	73.3	69.8	64	63	65	64	64.0	6.0	6.2	6.6	6.9	6.50	
Fe 100 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	68.2	72.3	76.6	78.5	73.9	64	61	66	63	63.5	7.1	7.4	7.7	7.9	7.53	
Fe 200 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	69.4	73.4	80.2	82.5	76.6	65	66	65	62	64.5	7.7	7.8	8.1	8.4	8.06	
B 50 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	67.4	75.2	74.4	77.3	73.6	64	61	66	63	63.5	6.6	6.8	7.0	7.3	6.93	
B 100 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	74.4	76.6	77.6	79.4	77.0	63	64	63	66	64.0	7.0	7.0	7.5	7.7	7.24	
Fe 100 + B 50 ppm	76.1	77.2	78.8	83.1	78.8	65	65	63	64	64.3	7.2	7.7	7.9	8.1	7.77	
Fe 100 ppm + B 100 ppm	77.2	85.5	88.3	88.3	84.8	66	66	61	65	64.8	7.5	7.9	8.2	8.7	8.15	
Fe 200 ppm + B 50 ppm	82.6	87.8	90.4	91.6	88.1	62	63	63	66	63.5	8.2	8.3	9.1	9.3	8.76	
Fe 200 ppm + B 100 ppm	84.4	89.6	92.1	96.9	90.8	64	62	66	66	64.5	8.4	8.4	9.3	9.5	8.95	
Mean A	73.8	78.5	81.1	83.5		64.2	63.6	64.2	64.3		7.30	7.66	7.92	8.25		
New LSD 5%	A=	3.52 ;	B=5.32 ;	AB= 7	7.76	A=	NS	B= NS	AB=	NS	A	= 0.37 ;	B=0.41	; AB=0.5	94	
	Second Season (2018)					Second Season (2018)					Second Season (2018)					
Treatments	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	
Fe 0.0 + B 0.0 ppm	66.5	69.1	78.5	79.4	73.4	62	65	67	68	65.5	6.1	7.4	8.1	8.6	7.55	
Fe 100 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	69.6	70.2	81.4	83.3	76.1	66	69	69	74	69.7	8.1	9.5	9.9	10.3	9.45	
Fe 200 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	73.4	74.5	86.6	88.7	80.8	66	69	70	77	70.5	9.4	9.0	10.2	10.4	9.75	
B 50 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	70.3	73.2	86.9	89.8	80.5	64	66	71	71	68.0	8.2	9.0	9.6	11.2	9.50	
B 100 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	72.5	76.2	89.2	90.7	82.2	66	68	73	72	69.8	8.9	9.6	9.8	11.1	8.85	
Fe 100 + B 50 ppm	77.4	79.6	90.8	93.6	85.4	67	69	75	72	70.8	9.6	9.8	10.3	10.8	10.13	
Fe 100 ppm + B 100 ppm	79.5	84.5	92.7	95.1	87.9	68	71	75	76	72.5	9.7	9.9	10.4	11.0	10.25	
Fe 200 ppm + B 50 ppm	84.7	87.7	97.8	103.0	93.3	69	73	78	79	74.8	9.6	9.6	9.9	11.3	10.10	
Fe 200 ppm + B 100 ppm	85.4	89.4	98.5	104.7	94.5	71	74	79	80	76.0	9.8	10.2	10.8	11.9	10.68	
Mean A	75.5	78.3	89.2	92.0		66.6	69.3	73.0	74.3		8.81	9.33	9.88	10.73		
New LSD 5%	A	A=4.2 ;	B= 5.1	; AB= 7.4	45	A	= 2.22	; B= 3.71	; AB= 5.4	40	Α	= 0.35	; B= 0.59	; AB= 0.8	55	

Table 4. Effect of spraying potassium sulphate, ferrous sulphate, and boric acid on shoot lengths (cm), number of new shoot / tree and leaf area of pomegranate cv. Wonderful, during 2017 and 2018 seasons

41

		I	Nitrogen 9	/o			Ph	osphoru	s %		Potassium %						
Treatments	First Season (2017)						First Season (2017)					First Season (2017)					
	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B		
Fe 0.0 + B 0.0 ppm	1.41	1.47	1.49	1.51	1.47	0.18	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.213	1.32	1.39	1.41	1.44	1.39		
Fe 100 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	1.50	1.56	1.61	1.67	1.59	0.20	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.225	1.37	1.44	1.47	1.48	1.44		
Fe 200 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	1.59	1.62	1.70	1.72	1.66	0.23	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.260	1.42	1.49	1.54	1.55	1.50		
B 50 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	1.62	1.69	1.72	1.73	1.69	0.20	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.225	1.35	1.42	1.47	1.49	1.43		
B 100 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	1.64	1.66	1.69	1.76	1.69	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.245	1.39	1.51	1.57	1.66	1.53		
Fe 100 + B 50 ppm	1.68	1.71	1.76	1.78	1.73	0.24	0.27	0.30	0.31	0.280	1.44	1.65	1.67	1.69	1.61		
Fe 100 ppm + B 100 ppm	1.64	1.74	1.79	1.79	1.74	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.33	0.304	1.50	1.64	1.66	1.72	1.63		
Fe 200 ppm + B 50 ppm	1.69	1.76	1.82	1.84	1.78	0.30	0.32	0.34	0.36	0.328	1.58	1.69	1.71	1.77	1.69		
Fe 200 ppm + B 100 ppm	1.70	1.78	1.84	1.86	1.80	0.32	0.33	0.35	0.39	0.343	1.61	1.72	1.75	1.79	1.72		
Mean A	1.58	1.63	1.74	1.75		0.243	0.262	0.277	0.296		1.44	1.55	1.58	1.62			
New LSD 5%	Α	= 0.10 ;	B= 0.12 ;	<b>AB= 0.</b>	17	A=	NS ; F	B=0.112	; AB= 0	.164	A=0.09 ; $B=0.09$ ; $AB=0.13$						
	Second Season (2018)						Secon	d Season	<b>(2018)</b>		Second Season (2018)						
Treatments	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.5%	Mean B	K2SO4 0.0%	K2SO4 0.5%	K2SO4 1.0%	K2SO4 1.5%	Mean B		
Fe 0.0 + B 0.0 ppm	1.42	1.47	1.49	1.51	1.47	0.18	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.213	1.30	1.37	1.42	1.44	1.38		
Fe 100 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	1.60	1.66	1.70	1.73	1.67	0.21	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.230	1.35	1.42	1.45	1.46	1.42		
Fe 200 ppm + B 0.0 ppm	1.62	1.68	1.73	1.74	1.69	0.23	0.25	0.28	0.29	0.263	1.40	1.47	1.53	1.55	1.49		
B 50 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	1.63	1.70	1.73	1.75	1.70	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.235	1.36	1.44	1.48	1.49	1.44		
B 100 ppm + Fe 0.0 ppm	1.66	1.66	1.69	1.76	1.69	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.248	1.37	1.52	1.57	1.59	1.51		
Fe 100 + B 50 ppm	1.68	1.71	1.76	1.78	1.73	0.24	0.27	0.30	0.32	0.280	1.40	1.62	1.69	1.69	1.60		
Fe 100 ppm + B 100 ppm	1.69	1.74	1.79	1.79	1.75	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.34	0.293	1.49	1.60	1.68	1.72	1.62		
Fe 200 ppm + B 50 ppm	1.71	1.77	1.82	1.83	1.78	0.28	0.32	0.34	0.36	0.325	1.54	1.63	1.68	1.74	1.65		
Fe 200 ppm + B 100 ppm	1.74	1.78	1.82	1.85	1.80	0.32	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.348	1.60	1.70	1.74	1.78	1.71		
Mean A	1.64	1.69	1.73	1.75		0.241	0.265	0.292	0.298		1.42	1.53	1.58	1.61			
New LSD 5%	Α	= 0.10 ;	B= 0.13	; AB= 0.2	20	A= 0.	021 ; E	B= 0.067	; AB=	0.095	А	= 0.08 ;	B=0.10	; AB= 0.	14		

 Table 5. Effect of spraying potassium sulphate, ferrous sulphate, and boric acid on leaves Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium percentages of pomegranate cv. Wonderful, during 2017 and 2018 seasons

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, for improve flowering behavior, yield and its components and leaves mineral content of Wonderful pomegranate, it is necessary spraying the trees with potassium sulphate at 1.5%, ferrous sulphate at 200 ppm and boric acid at 100 ppm three times on during growth cycle.

# REFERENCES

Abadía, J.; Vázquez, S.; Rellán-Álvarez, R.; El-Jendoubi, H.; Abadía, A.; Álvarez-Fernández, A. and López-Millán, A.F. (2011). Towards a knowledge-based correction of iron chlorosis. Plant Physiology & Biochemistry, 49: 471-482.

Abd-El-Rhman, I.E.; Attia, F.M.; El-Hady, E.S. and Haggag, L.F. (2017). Effect of spraying of some antioxidants and micronutrients on yield, fruit quality and leaf mineral content of Manfalouty pomegranate trees (*Punica granatum* L.) grown in a calcareous soil. Middle East J. of Applied Sci., 7 (4): 713-725.

**Abo El-Enien, M.M.S. (2012).** Improvement of Washington navel orange fruit quality using water regimes and GA<sub>3</sub>, potassium and calcium foliar applications. Ph.D. Theses, Fac, Agric. Kafrelshikh Univ. Egypt.

**Abo-Ali, H.F.R. (2019).** Physiological and histological studies on Wonderful pomegranate *cv*. M.Sc. Hort. Pomology, Fac. Agric. Azhar University.

Abou El-Wafa, M. (2015). Effect of some treatments on reducing sunburn in Wonderful pomegranate fruit trees. Egyptian J. Horticulture, 42 (42): 795-806.

Alila, P.; Sanyal, D. and Sema, K.A. (2004). Influence of micronutrient application on quality of papaya cv. Ranchi. Haryana J. Hort. Sci., 33 (1/2): 25-26.

**Al-Wasfy, M.M. (2014).** The synergistic effect of using potassium with some vitamins on growth and fruiting of Flame seedless grapevines. Stem Cell 5(1): 8-13.

**Al-Yahai, R.; Al-Said, F. and Opara, L. (2009).** Fruit growth characteristics of four pomegranate cultivars from northern Oman. Fruit J., 64: 335-341.

**Ampem, G. (2017).** Quality attributes of pomegranate fruit and co-products relevant to processing and nutrition. M.Sc. Theses, Fac. of AgriScince. Stellembosch Univ. South Africa.

Amtmann A.; Hammond, J.P.; Armengaud P. and White P.J. (2006). Nutrient sensing and signalling in plants: Potassium and phosphorus. Adv. Bot. Res., 43: 209–257

Arona, J.S. and Singh, J.R. (1970). Some effects of iron spray on growth, yield and quality of guava fruits (*Psidium guajava* L.). J. Japan Soc. Hort. Sci., 39 (2): 139-142.

Arona, J.S. and Singh, J.R. (1970). Some effects of iron spray on growth, yield and quality of guava fruits (*Psidium guajava* L.). J. Japan Soc. Hort. Sci., 39, (2): 139-142.

**Bambal, S.B.; Wavhal, K.N. and Nasalkak, S.D.** (1991). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on fruit quality and yield of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L. cv Ganesh). J. Hort., 5 (2): 32-36.

**Ben-Mimoun, M. and Marchand, M. (2013).** Effect of potassium foliar fertilization on different fruit tree crops over five years of experiments. Acta Horticulturte 984-23: 211-217.

**Buurman, P.; Van Lagen, B. and Velthorst, E.J.** (1996). Soil and Water Analysis. Bachuys Publishers Leiden. pp 122-217.

**Cakamak, I. (2005).** The role of potassium in alleviating determinal effect of abiotic stress in plants. J. Plant Nut. Sol Sci., 521-530.

**Dalal, R.P.S.; Vijay, S. and Beniwal, B.S. (2017).** Influence of foliar sprays of different potassium fertilizers on quality and leaf mineral composition of Sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) cv. Jaffa. Int. Pure. App. Biosci., 5 (5): 587-594.

Davarpanah, S.; Aakari, M.A.; Babalar, M.; Zarei, M. and Aghayeh, R.N.M. (2017). Effect of foliar application of phosphorus, potassium and iron on physical and chemical properties of pomegranate fruit. Jordan J. Agric. Sci., 13 (3): 693-706.

**Davarpanah, S.; Akbari, M.; Askari, M.A. and Nadda, M.E. (2013).** Effect of iron foliar application (Fe-EDDHA) on quantitative and qualitative characteristics of pomegranate cvMalas– e-Saveh. World of Sciences J., 4: 179-187.

**Davarpanah, S.; Tehranifar, A.; Davarynejad, G.; Abadia, J. and Khorasani, R. (2016).** Effect of foliar application of zinc and boron nano-fertilizers on pomegranate (*Punica granatum* cv. Adrdestani) fruit yield and quality. Scientia Horticulturae, 210: 1-8.

**Drogoudi, P.; Pantelidis, G. and Manganaris, A.** (2012). Morphological and physiological characteristics in pomegranate cultivars with different yields. Optios Mediterraneenes, A, no. 103. II Intern. Sympos. On the pomegranate.

**Erdal, I.; Kepenek, K. and KIZILGÖZ, İ. (2004).** Effect of foliar iron applications at different growth stages on iron and some nutrient concentrations in strawberry cultivars. Turkish J. Agric. & Forestry, 28: 421- 427. Fernández, V.; Del Río, V.; Abadía, J. and Abadía, A. (2006). Foliar iron fertilization of peach (*Prunus persica* L. Batsch): effects of iron compounds, surfactants and other adjuvants. Plant & Soil J., 289: 239-252.

Gattward J.N.; Almeida A.A.; Souza J.O.Jr.; Gomes F.P. and Kronzucker H.J. (2012). Sodiumpotassium synergism in Theobroma cacao: stimulation of photosynthesis, water-use efficiency and mineral nutrition. Physiol. Plant, 146: 350–362.

Gill, P.P.S.; Ganaie, M.Y.; Dhillon, W.S. and Singh, N.P. (2012). Effect of foliar sprays of potassium on fruit size and quality of Pargarnakh pear. Indian J. Hort., 69 (4): 512-516.

Gurel, S. and Basar, H. (2016). Effect of application of boron with iron and zinc on the contents of Pear trees. Not. Bot. Agrobo., 44 (1): 125-132.

Hamouda, H.A.; Abdel Motty, E.Z. and Zahran, N.G. (2015). Nutritional status and improving fruit quality by potassium, magnesium and manganese foliar application in pomegranate shrubs. International J. Chem. Tech., 8 (6): 858-867.

Hasanuzzaman, M.; Borhannuddin, M.H.M.; Nahar, K.; Hossain, S.Md.; Al-Mohamud, J.; Hossen, Md. S.; Masud, A.A.C.; Moumita, I.D. and Fujita, M. (2018). Potassium: A vital regulator of plant responses and tolerance to abiotic stresses. A. review, Agronomy, 8 (31): 1-29.

Hastings, D.F. and Gutknecht, J. (1978). Potassium and turgor pressure in plants. J. Theor. Biol., 73: 363–366.

Holland, D.; Hatib, K. and Bar-Ya,akov, I. (2009). Pomegranate: Botany, horticulture, breeding Hort. Rev. (Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.) 35: 127-191.

Holland, D.; Hatib, K. and Ya,akov (2001). Pomegranate: Botany, Horticulture, Breeding. Horticulture Reviews, Volume 35. Edited by Jules Janick.

**Ibrahim, H.I.M. and Al-Wasfy, M.M. (2014).** The promotive impact of using silicon and selenium with potassium and boron on fruiting of Valencia orange trees grown under Minia region conditions. World Rural Observations, 6 (2): 28-36.

**Ibrahim, H.I.M.; Gobara, A.A. and Abdel-Gaber, N.M. (2009).** Response of Red Roomy grapevines to foliar application of boron, magnesium and Zinc. Minia J. Agric. Res. Develop., 29 (3): 3350353.

Laegreid, M.; Bockman, O.C. and Kaarstad, A. (1999). Agriculture fertilizers and the environment. CABI Publishing. New York. Pp 294.

Legua, P.; Melgarejo, P.; Haddioui, A.; Martinez, J.J.; Martinez, R.; Hmid. I.; Hanine, H. and Herandez, F. (2012). Characterization of six varieties of Moroccan pomegranate. Option Méditerranéennes, A, no. 103, 2012. II International Symposium on the Pomegranate. Pages 97-99.

Marschner, H. (1997). Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Second Edition, Paston press Ltd, Londdon, New York. pp 889

**Martin-Préval, P.; Gagnard, J. and Gautier, P.** (1984). L'analyse végétale dans le contrôle de l'alimentation des plantes tempères et tropicales. 2<sup>nd</sup>Ed. pp 810. Technique et Documentation – Lavoisier, Paris, France.

Mengel, K. (1985). Dynamics and availability of major nutrients in soil. Advances in soil Sci., 2, Springer-Verlag New York, Increase. pp 89-91.

**Mengel, K. (2007).** Potassium, in: Barker A.V., Pilbeam D.J editors. Hand book of plant nutrition. 1st ed. Boca Raton, FL.USA: CRC/ Taylor and Francis, pp. 91-116.

Mohamed, R.A.M. (2014). Studies on the effect of spraying potassium silicate and vitamin B on fruiting of Manfalouty pomegranate trees. M.Sc. Theses Hort. Depart. Faculty of Agric. Minia Univ.

Mosa, W.F.A.; Abd El-Megeed, N.A. and Paszt, L.S. (2015). The effect of the foliar application of potassium, calcium, boron and humic acid on vegetative growth, fruit set, leaf mineral, yield and fruit quality of Anna apple trees. American J. of Experimental Agriculture, 8 (4): 224-234.

**Omar, M.O.A. (2014).** Selecting the best N, P and K level for Wonderful pomegranates trees grown under Minia region. M.Sc. Thesis. pp 102. Hort. Department Fac. Of Agric. Minia University. Egypt.

**Omar, M.O.A. (2015).** Selecting the best N, P and K level for Wonderful pomegranates trees grown under Minia region. M.Sc. Thesis. pp 102.

Ozturk, B.; Karakaya, O.; erdem, H.; Kucuker, E.; Ozkan, Y. and Yildiz, K. (2019). The effects of foliar iron treatments (+Fe) on Fruit quality of different pear cultivars. Erwerbs-Obstbau May 2019.

**Pettogrew W.T. (2008).** Potassium influences on yield and quality production for maize, wheat, soybean and cotton. Physiol. Plant, 133: 670–681.

**Prajapati, K. and Modi, H.A. (2012).** The importance of potassium in plant growth – A Review. Indian J. Plant Sciences, 1, No. (2-3).

Saeedi, A.M.; Mohammad, G.; Samadi, G.R.; Abdiani, S. and Giordani, E. (2012). The pomegranate national collection of Afghanistan. Optios Mediterraneenes, A, no. 103, 2012. II Intern. Sympos. On the pomegranate

Schachtman D.P. and Shin R. (2007). Nutrient sensing and signaling: NPKS. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 58: 47–69.

**Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1980).** Statistical Method. Oxford and J.B. publishing Co., 6th edition.

Suman, M.; Sangma, P.D. and Singh, D. (2017). Role of micronutrients (Fe, Zn, B, Cu, Mg, Mn and Mo) in fruit crops. International J. Current Microbiology & Applied Sciences, 6 (6): 3240-3250.

Walker D.J., Leigh R.A. and Miller A.J. (1996). Potassium homeostasis in vacuolate plant cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93: 10510–10514.

Walsh, L.M. and Beaton, J.D. (1986). Soil testing and plant analysis. 6<sup>th</sup> edition. Editor, Soil science society of America, Inc. pp 489.

Wassel, A.H.M.; Ibrahim, H.I.M. and Shaaban, M.M. (2015). Response of Wonderful pomegranate trees to foliar application of amino acids, vitamins B and silicon. World Rural Observation, 7 (3): 92-95.

**Yagodin, B.A. (1990).** Agriculture chemistry. Mir Publisher, Moscow. pp 278-281.

Yang, F.; Du, M.; Tian, X.; Eneji, A.E.; Duan, L. and Li, Z. (2014). Plant growth regulation enhanced potassium uptake and use efficiency in cotton. Field Crops Res., 163: 109-118.