

DOI: 10.37229/fsa.fja.2019.12.22

Future J. Agric., 4 (2019) **73-91** 

OPEN ACCES

## THE PERFORMANCE OF DELPHINIUM (*Delphinium ajacis* (L.) Schur.) PLANTS UNDER DROUGHT STRESS, HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AND SALICYLIC ACID TREATMENTS

## Ramy G. El-Kinany<sup>1\*</sup>, Atef M.K. Nassar<sup>2</sup> and Walid S. E. Nosir<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Damanhour University, Damanhour, El-Beheira, PO Box 59, Egypt.
<sup>2</sup>Plant Protection Dept., Fac. Agric., Damanhour University, Damanhour, El-Beheira, PO Box 59, Egypt.
<sup>3</sup>Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

\*Corresponding author: dr\_ramy\_gaber@yahoo.com Received: 20 Nov. 2019 ; Accepted: 22 Dec. 2019

**ABSTRACT:** Greenhouse experiments were conducted during the two successive winter seasons of (2016 - 2017) and (2017 - 2018) in order to enhance the performance of Delphinium plants under drought stress conditions and the possibility of enhancing vegetative growth, flowering growth, and chemical composition. Experiments were designed as a split-plot based on randomized complete block with three replications in a private commercial nursery in Damanhour City, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt. The experiments were conducted by applying foliar applications of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) at 0, 1250 and 2500 ppm and salicylic acid (SA) at 0, 100 and 200 ppm. The vegetative, root and flowering growth and chemical composition of Delphinium plants grown under drought stress were examined. The obtained results of the two seasons revealed that either SA or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatments caused significant increase in growth parameters and chemical composition of Delphinium plants compare to control plants. Salicylic acid at a concentration of 100 ppm was more effective in increasing vegetative, root and flowering growth, and photosynthesis parameters, followed by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at a concentration of 1250 ppm compared to the other treatments. On the other hand, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at a concentration of 2500 ppm was more effective in increasing total phenols and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in leaves.

Keywords: Delphinium ajacis, Consolida ajacis, Larkspur, hydrogen peroxide, Salicylic acid, Drought stress.

## INTRODUCTION

Delphinium ajacis L. [syn. Consolida ajacis (L.) Schur.] is one of the most important plants in family Ranunculaceae (Wang et al., 2010), with the common name of garden larkspur (Liang et al., 1991). It is an annual flowering plant topped with a raceme of many flowers, varying in color from purple and blue, to pink, red, yellow, or white (Blanchè and Molero, 1993). The name of the plant belongs to the flower itself because it is consists of five petal-like sepals that grow together to form a hollow pocket with a spur at the end, usually more or less dark blue. Within the sepals, there are four true petals, small, inconspicuous, and commonly colored similarly to the sepals (Warnock, 1993). Leaves of this plant are alternate, deeply lobed with three to seven toothed, pointed lobes in a palmate shape. Delphinium plants cultivated for its attractive color flowers and for varied uses like garden plants, for cut flowers and floristry, excellent for rising in the garden as borders and beds. Also, the blooming plant is used in displays and specialist competitions at flower and garden shows, such as the Chelsea Flower Show (Bassett, 2006). Plants contain many active compounds such as alkaloids, phenols tannins, steroids, glycosides, resins, flavonoids, volatile oils, and fixed oils and they are present in leaves, flowers, bark, root, seeds, etc. (Desai et al., 1994). These phytochemicals have beneficial medicinal effects, especially its activity against human diseases (Bonjar et al, 2004). As a traditional Chinese medicine, the roots of D. ajacis are applied to treat stomach ache, and the seeds are used to treat edema and asthma (Ran et al., 1993).

Also, seeds are being used for enhancement of hair and treatment of hair loss since ancient times in Mediterranean tradition medicine (Wang *et al.*, 2010).

In nature, plants often face the challenge of severe environmental conditions, which include various biotic and abiotic stresses that affect the plant growth and development causing considerable loss in crop productivity. Drought and water deficit is one of most important environmental factors causes of crop loss worldwide, reducing average yields for most major crop plants by inhibiting photosynthesis and decreasing growth and productivity of plants, also, drought affects more than 10 % of arable land, causing desertification especially in arid and semi-arid areas (**Bray et al. 2000**). Because of population growth and development of economic sectors, the competition for water resources will also grow (**Laraus, 2004**).

Oxidative damage is a common effect of drought stress, as with other environmental stresses. Oxidative stress occurs in plant cells when the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is excessive (Sharma et al., 2012). The ROS, including singlet oxygen  $(O_2^{-1})$ , superoxide anion  $(O_2^{-1})$ , hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and hydroxyl radical (OH-), are constantly produced as by-products of metabolic reactions (Sharma et al., 2012). In the absence of effective mechanisms, which remove or scavenge free radicals, oxidative stress can seriously damage the plant by lipid peroxidation, protein degradation, breaking of DNA, and cell death (Arora et al., 2002). Among the ROS compounds, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is the one that received most of the attention of the scientific community in the last decade. Where, the increased data indicate the biological activity of  $H_2O_2$ as a stress signal molecule in plants (Hung et al., **2005**). Hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  is one of the major and the most stable ROS, which is induced to elevate in plants by biotic and abiotic stresses (Ślesak et al., **2007**). Many studies stated that exogenous  $H_2O_2$ application can increase abiotic stress tolerance either by modulating ROS detoxification or regulating multiple stress-responsive pathways and gene expression (Hossain et al., 2015). Furthermore, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> directly regulates the expression of numerous genes involved in plant defense and the related pathways such as antioxidant enzymes, defense proteins and transcription factors (Hung et al., 2005). Liu et al. (2010) reported that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> pre-treatment of two cucumber varieties improved osmotic stress resistance by activating the antioxidants system.

Additionally, salicylic acid (SA) is a phenolic compound with antioxidant properties and involved in the regulation of various physiological functions and biochemical activities in plants (**Mehrabian** *et al*, **2011**). Salicylic acid plays an important role as a molecular signal in creating a defensive response

against various biotic and abiotic stresses by various mechanisms such as improved photosynthetic capacity (Arfan et al., 2007), maintaining the stability of membranes and thereby (El-Tayeb, 2005). Salicylic acid changes the activity of antioxidant enzymes, which play a remarkable role in the protection of plants against oxidative damage by detoxification of strong oxidizing radicals (Munns and Tester, 2008). Salicylic acid effects on peroxidase and catalase enzymes and osmotic regulators such as proline, glycine and betaine and ameliorates the effect of drought stress, heavy metals, heat, cold and salinity in corn (Hussein et al., 2007). Therefore, the objective of the current study was to assess the role of exogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and SA application in inducing Delphinium plants drought tolerance, based on changes in some traits such as growth and its chemical components. In order to plant Delphinium plants in arid and semi-arid areas under rainwater.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Experimental design**

This experiment included 18 treatments which included all possible combinations between the three irrigation intervals levels (D3, D6, and D9), the three exogenous  $H_2O_2$  treatments (H0, H1, and H2), and the three exogenous SA treatments (SA0, SA1, and SA2). Treatments were arranged in a split-plot design with three replicates each, and different irrigation treatments were assigned at random in the main plots, while sub-plots were devoted to the different exogenous  $H_2O_2$  and SA treatments. However, the statistical analysis of the experiment was done as described in the randomized complete plot design.

# Irrigation intervals, hydrogen peroxide $(H_2O_2)$ , and salicylic acid (SA) treatments

The following three irrigation intervals were applied throughout the period of plant life: 3, 6 and 9 days between irrigations. The  $H_2O_2$  concentrations that were used during the experiment were H0 = untreated plants (control treatment). H1= sprayed with 1250 ppm hydrogen peroxide. H2= sprayed with 2500 ppm hydrogen peroxide. The following concentrations of SA were used during the experiment: SA 0 = untreated plants (control treatment). SA 1= sprayed with 100 ppm salicylic acid. SA 2= sprayed with 200 ppm salicylic acid.

#### Planting and growth conditions

Two pot experiments were carried out during the two successive winter seasons of (2016 - 2017) and (2017 - 2018) in a split-plot design based on randomized complete block design with three replications in a greenhouse of a private commercial nursery in Damanhour City, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt. A local variety of *Delphinium ajacis* plants was used throughout the study. Seeds were obtained

from the Experimental Station of Floriculture and Ornamental Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Alexandria.

Seeds were sown on October 18<sup>th</sup> for both seasons in wooden trays filled with a mixture of equal parts of sand and peat moss. The trays were placed in a shady place in the commercial nursery and watered daily. After 5 weeks from sowing the seedlings were individually transplanted to 25 cm plastic pots containing sandy soil. The mechanical and chemical analyses of the soil were determined according to the standard method described by **Black** *et al.* (1965) and results are shown in Table (1).

 
 Table 1. Some physical and chemical analyses of soil samples of the experiment

Physical analysis	First season	Second season
Clay (%)	1.77	1.72
Silt (%)	6.23	6.03
Sand (%)	92	92.25
Texture class	sand	sand
Chemical analysis		
EC (dS/m)	0.8	0.82
pН	7.7	7.9
Ca (meq/L)	20.21	20.32
Mg (meq/L)	6.20	6.78
$SO_4 (meq/L)$	8.21	7.94
K (meq/L)	5.31	5.33

The soil characteristic analyses were carried out at the Natural Resources and Agriculture Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University.

After 75 days from seed sowing, plants were sprayed four times distributed once a week with hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) at (0, 1250 and 2500 ppm) and Salicylic acid (SA) at (0, 100 and 200 ppm) onto the leaves of each plant, and then irrigation was stopped. Treatments with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or SA were always followed by drought stress. Pot surface was covered with polyethylene before application to avoid falling of spray drips on the growing medium. All concentrations were applied using a hand sprayer and non-ionic surfactant tween 80 at 0.05% (v/v) was added to all treatments to reduce the surface tension and increase the contact angle of sprayed droplets. Each plant was sprayed individually, so that, all foliage was moistened until the point of run-off. The spraying volume was 18 ml per plant and the amount of water that was added to the pot to irrigate plants 450 ml per plant. All treatments received identical doses of N, P and K fertilization. Foliar sprays were utilized always early in the morning. All other cultural practices were adapted whenever they were necessary and as commonly recommended in the commercial production of Delphinium plants.

## Data recorded

**Plant growth characteristics,** at the end of the experiment, plant height (cm), 100 seeds weight g/plant, number of branches per plant, shoot fresh and dry weight per plant (g) were determined without the inflorescences and also for roots for all plants. Also, root growth parameters were measured such as root length, root fresh and dry weight per plant (g). Flower characteristics, flower diameter (cm), flowering duration (day), flower fresh and dry weight (g) and the number of flowers per plant were determined.

## **Chemical analyses**

Chlorophyll components and total chlorophyll contents (mg/g F.W.) were measured spectrophotometrically according to **Arnon (1949).** The concentrations of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll were calculated using the following equation:

Chlorophyll a = 12.7 (A663) - 2.69 (A645) Chlorophyll b = 22.9 (A645) - 4.68 (A663)

Total chlorophyll = 20.2 (A645) + 8.02 (A663)

# Hydrogen peroxide $\left(H_2O_2\right)$ and total phenolics content

The  $H_2O_2$  content (µmol/g FW) was measured calorimetrically as described by **Jana and Chundhuri (1981).** Total phenolic contents (mg/g d.wt) were determined by the colorimetric method of folin-Denis as described by **Daniel and George** (1972). A calibration curve of pyrogallol was prepared, and the results were expressed as mg Pyr (Pyrogallol).

## **Statistical Analysis**

All obtained data were statistically analyzed by Statistical Analysis Systems (**Costat, 2008**) and significant means were compared by the Tukey test at 0.05 probability.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Vegetative growth characters

The data showing the main effects of the two studied factors (different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid") and their interactions on plant growth of Delphinium plants during the two growing seasons of (2016 - 2017) and (2017 - 2018) are presented in Table (2 and 3).

Regarding the main effect of drought stress on plant growth parameters, data in Table (2) proved that plant height, number of branches per plant, plant fresh weight, plant dry weight, root fresh weight and root dry weight of Delphinium plants were significantly decreased with decreasing level of irrigation up to the lowest one in both seasons. However, root length was significantly increased. The greatest reduction of plant growth parameters mentioned before and the greatest increment of root length was obtained under severe drought stress, in both seasons. Under severe drought stress the estimated percentages decrease in plant height, number of branches per plant, plant fresh weight, plant dry weight, root fresh weight and root dry weight were (43.22% and 43.76%), (68.42 and 65.19%), (52.04 and 51.6%), (40.28 and 41.63%), (40.61 and 43.02%) and (41.33 and 48.34%) compared to the control treatment and for the first and second season, respectively. But, the estimated percentages increment of root length under severe drought stress was (153.65 and 8.715 %) compared to the control treatment and for the first and second season, respectively.

Plants suffer from drought stress either when the water supply to roots becomes difficult or when the transpiration rate becomes very high (Ismail and Ozawa 2009). The reduction in plant growth might be due to, the reduction in cell division and elongation and more leaf senescence resulting from reduced turgor pressure (Shao et al. 2008) or it may be due to a decrease in photosynthesis in tissues, because when leaf area is lower, the capacity to light trap decreases and this led to an imbalance between light capture and its utilization (Khalid 2006 and Shao et al., 2008) and by closing stomata, inhibited ribulose-1,5bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco), and the impairment of ATP synthesis needed for plant growth (Lawlor and Cornic, 2002). Also, the effect of drought stress on the growth of plant may be due to the lower availability of sufficient moisture in the rhizosphere which leads to the reduction of nutrients absorption (Singh et al., 1997). Moreover, water deficit causes plant growth inhibitors such as abscisic acid (ABA) to increase and growth regulator hormones to decrease (Molz and Klepper, 1973). Moreover, water deficit leads to the excess accumulation of ROS that induced oxidative injuries to deoxyribonucleic acid, lipid, and protein and finally a growth reduction (Yazdanpanah et al., 2011). Yin et al., (2005) reported that fine root mass significantly decreases under water shortage. Increasing the irrigation interval causes a decrease in the root dry weight because the pattern of root distribution was similar to that of the moisture distribution (Kramer, 1995). Scholander et al. (1965) reported that when the soil is dry, the roots are more in-depth profiles of soil development which leads to increasing root length. Leskovar (1998) emphasized that irrigation method, rate, timing, and interval may influence the physical and chemical properties of the growing media and thereby, affecting root initiation, elongation and dry matter

partitioning between roots and shoots. The obtained results were in harmony with those reported by EL-Saadony *et al.* (2017) on *Pisum sativum* L., El-Sabagh *et al.* (2017) and Orabi, *et al.* (2018) on canola plants and Wang *et al.* (2019) on rice.

Concerning the main effect of different rates of hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid on the vegetative growth parameters, data in Table (2) indicated that spraying the Delphinium plants with the low concentrations of salicylic acid (100 ppm) and  $H_2O_2(1250 \text{ ppm})$  significantly increased the plant growth parameters compared to control (spraying with tap water) in both seasons. The highest values of plant height, plant fresh weight, plant dry weight, root length, root fresh weight, and root dry weight were observed at 100 ppm salicylic acid compared to other treatments, in both seasons. However, the differences between salicylic acid at 100 ppm and  $H_2O_2$  at 1250 ppm on the number of branches per plant and root dry weight in the second season only were at par.

Generally, the promotive effect of salicylic acid could be lead to its bioregulator effects on physiological and biochemical processes in plants such as ion uptake by the stressed plant, cell elongation, cell division, cell differentiation, enzymatic activities, protein synthesis, sink/source regulation and increase CO2 assimilation and photosynthetic rate as well as increase the antioxidant capacity of plants (El-Taveb, 2005 and Raskin, 1992). Also, salicylic acid plays a vital role in alleviation abiotic stresses through increasing the growth-regulating hormones such as auxins and cytokinins, GA, ABA (Zarghami et al., 2014), which lead to enhance mitosis, cell division and cell elongation (Sakhabutdinova et al., 2003). Also, SA plays an important role in stimulating physiological processes, such as accelerated carbon assimilates, increased biosynthesis of metabolites and maintenance of tissue water status (Habibi, 2012). Furthermore, SA plays an important role in enhancing content and photosynthetic chlorophyll rate (Fariduddin et al., 2003). Additionally, the SA soluble application enhanced total sugar accumulation that served as a substrate for accelerating initiation of leaf primordial (Munns et al., 1979). The aforementioned results of Salicylic acid are in good accordance with those postulated by Chattha et al. (2015) on maize, El-Saadony et al., (2017) on pea and Fardus et al. and Kareem et al. (2017) on wheat.

Hydrogen peroxide considers as a key regulator in a broad range of physiological processes, like stomatal movement, photosynthesis (Noctor and Foyer, 1998), senescence (Peng *et al.*, 2005), cell cycle and growth and development (Deng *et al.*, 2012). Hydrogen peroxide plays an important role in activating many other important signal molecules (Ca<sup>+2</sup>, SA, ABA, JA, and ethylene) of plants (Dempsey and Klessig, 1995). These signal molecules work together and play a complex role in signal transduction of resistance responses, and growth and development in the plant. Moreover, the change of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> level may influence metabolic and antioxidant enzyme activity related to plant growth and development (Barba-Espín et al., 2011). Furthermore, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> affects leaf growth via the root accepting signals directly and then producing different growth-stimulating signals to mediate root physiological processes, like root activity or root growth, changing water absorption capacity and changing leaf water condition, finally affecting leaf metabolic processes (Deng et al., 2012). Hydrogen peroxide plays an important role in enhancing root length by enhancing cell division either as primary or secondary effect to counterbalance the inhibition process of cell elongation and enhancing the process of new root emergence, which can be attributed to plant defense response to abolish the effect of stress (reduction in root length and weight) thereby, helping the plant to establish properly for attaining proper growth under stress condition (Potikha et al., 1999). Ren et al. (2000) observed an increased level of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in wheat root cells, which enhanced the root growth under drought stress. The obtained results of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> are in harmony with Deng et al. (2012) on sweet potato, Goldani et al. (2012) on Origanum majorana L., Guler and Pehlivan (2016) on soybean and Orabi et al. (2018) on canola plants.

The effect of interaction between the different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid" on plant growth characters were significant during both seasons (Table 3). The statistical analysis, generally, revealed that the highest mean values of plant height, number of branches per plant, plant fresh weight, plant dry weight and root dry weight of Delphinium were achieved when spraying Delphinium plants with 100 ppm salicylic acid under 3 days irrigation interval in both seasons. On the other hand, the highest mean value of root length was achieved at the interaction between irrigation every nine days and the application with 100 ppm Salicylic acid in both seasons and 1250 ppm H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the second season only. The estimated percentages increase in plant height, number of branches per plant, plant fresh weight, plant dry weight, root length, root fresh weight, and root dry weight were 8.12 and 10.33%, 13.65 and 13.64%, 19.78 and 19.36%, 40.85 and 37.62%, 230.12 and 19.3%, 9.82 and 22.76% and 8.82% compared to the control treatment and for the first and second season, respectively.

#### **Flower characteristics**

Pertaining to the main effect of drought stress on flower parameters the gained results presented in

Table (4) showed that there was a negative relationship between flower parameters and prolonging the period between irrigations. As irrigation period increased flower parameters i.e. the number of days to flowering, flowering duration, flower diameter, the number of flowers per plant, flower fresh weight and flower dry weight decreased. So, irrigation plants every nine days gave the lowest mean values of flower parameters but irrigation plants every three days gave the highest mean values of flower parameters, in both seasons. The estimated percentages decrease in number of days to flowering, flowering duration, flower diameter, number of flowers per plant, flower fresh weight and flower dry weight were 22.78% and 22.32%, 50.97 and 49.83%, 49.75 and 50.9%, 51.46 and 52.79%, 42.25 and 44.55% and 62 and 62.89% compared to the control treatment and for the first and second season, respectively.

Flowers are very sensitive to drought stress, the inhibition of flower growth characters under water deficit treatments would almost certainly be due to exposure to injurious levels of drought, causing a decrease of turgor which would result in a decrease of growth and decrease cell division and elongation (Kareem et al., 2017) which lead to a reduction in flower diameter then reduction of flower fresh and dry weight. Plants under any type of stressed condition tend to shorten their life span and try to complete their life cycle in hasten which causes the minimum days to flowering (Ponce et al., 1996). Also, water shortage decreases plant size, resulting in fewer locations for flower initiation and development (Guilioni et al., 2003) which leads to a reduction in the number of flowers per plant then reduction of flowering duration. Moreover, the limitation of water at the flowering stage not only reduces flower formation but also increases flower abortion (Mahendran and Bandara, 2000). Our findings were in agreement with the results of Al-Ubaydi et al. (2017) on okra, 'Cerekovi'c et al. (2013) on Ribes nigrum L., Shokrani et al. (2012) on Calendula officinalis and Turan *et al.* (2015) on chrysanthemum.

Concerning the main effects of different rates of hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid on flower parameters, data in Table (4) indicated that foliar spray of Delphinium plants with the low concentrations of salicylic acid (100 ppm) and  $H_2O_2$ (1250 ppm) significantly increased the given flower parameters compared to control (spraying with tap water) in both seasons and the maximum mean values of these parameters were observed at 100 ppm salicylic acid.

Irrigation Interval	Plant height (cm)		N. of branches/ plant			Plant fresh weight (g)		Plant dry weight (g)		Root length (cm)		Root fresh weight (g)		y weight g)
Days	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	Irrigation intervals (days)													
D3	98.33A	100.30A	7.60A	7.47A	31.13A	31.67A	7.87A	8.12A	10.97C	19.42C	16.16A	18.68A	3.41A	3.97A
D6	75.13B	76.00B	5.53B	5.60B	22.20B	22.53B	5.69B	5.77B	19.25B	19.83B	12.66B	12.30B	2.71B	2.7B
D9	55.83C	65.43C	2.40C	2.60C	14.93C	15.33C	4.70C	4.74C	27.82A	21.11A	9.6 C	10.64C	2.00C	2.00C
					Hydrog	gen peroxi	de and Sa	licylic acid	l					
Control	75.67C	76.11 C	5.00C	4.78B	21.89C	22.67C	5.96C	6.16C	18.89C	19.71C	12.19D	11.55E	2.63C	2.89 B
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1	79.56B	81.14 B	5.56B	5.89A	24.56B	25.11B	6.56B	6.75B	21.15B	22.13B	13.79B	15.27B	2.87B	3.03A
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	68.56E	69.67 E	4.33D	4.33B	19.22D	19.56E	4.93E	5.00E	15.83E	16.32E	10.88E	12.38D	2.37D	2.755C
SA 1	85.06A	86.46 A	6.22A	6.22A	26.56A	26.78A	7.24A	7.32A	22.4 A	23.19A	14.41A	15.69A	3.02A	3.03A
SA 2	73.33D	74.56 D	4.78CD	4.89B	21.56C	21.78D	5.75D	5.81D	18.46D	19.25D	12.75C	14.49C	2.63C	2.89 B

El-Kinany et al.

Table 2. The main effect of different irrigation intervals and different levels of hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid on plant growth parameters of Delphinium plants during the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

 $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$ ; first season and second season. Means were compared using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test ( $P \le 0.05$ ); n = 3; Means with the same capital letters are no significantly different between different irrigation intervals or between different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid"

78

Irrigation Interval	Trts	Plant Height (cm)		N. of Branches			Plant fresh weight (g)		y weight g)		length m)	Root fresh weight (g)		Root dry weight (g)	
(Day)		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	Control	98. 7 c	100.0 c	7.3 bc	7.3 b	30.3 c	31.0 c	7.3 c	7.6 c	9.3 n	20.1 e	16.3 c	16.7 c	3.4 c	4.1 a
	$H_2O_2$ 1	102. 3 b	103.7 b	7.7 ab	7.7 ab	34.0 b	34.7 b	8.6 b	9.1 b	13.21	20.8 d	17.2 b	20.1 ab	3.5 b	3.9 bc
D3	$H_2O_2$ 2	89. 7 e	92.0 e	7.3 bc	7.0 b	26.3 e	27.0 e	6.37 e	6.6 d	7.2 o	15.76 j	14.3 e	16.5 c	3.1 e	3.8 c
	SA 1	106. 7 a	110.3 a	8.3 a	8.3 a	36.3 a	37.0 a	10.3 a	10.5 a	13.8 k	22.8 b	17.9 a	19.6 b	3.7 a	4.0 a
	SA 2	94.3 d	95.7 d	7.3bc	7.0 b	28.7 d	28.7 d	6.8 d	6.8 d	11.4 m	17.7 h	15.2 d	20.5 a	3.3 d	3.99 ab
	Control	75.3 h	75.7 h	6.0 d	5.3 c	22.0 gh	22.3 gh	5.6 gh	5.8 ef	19.2 h	19.4 f	12.4 h	10.6 h	2.7 h	2.8 e
	$H_2O_2$ 1	78.0g	80.0 g	6.0 d	6.7 b	23.0 g	23.7 fg	5.9 fg	5.99 e	20.8 g	21.6 c	12.99 g	12.99 e	2.9 g	3.0 d
D6	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	66.3 j	67.3 j	4.3 ef	4.3 de	19.7 i	20.3 i	5.4 hi	5.4gh	15.8 j	16.8 i	11.98 j	11.98 g	2.4 j	2.4 g
	SA 1	86.7 f	85.7 f	6.7 cd	6.7 b	25.0 f	25.0 f	6.1 ef	6.1 e	22.8 f	22.8 b	13.7 f	13. 7 d	3.0 f	2.9 e
	SA 2	69.3 i	71.3 i	4.7 e	5.0 cd	21.3 h	21.3 hi	5.5 hi	5.5 fg	17.7 i	18.5 g	12.2 i	12.2 fg	2.6 i	2.6 f
	Control	53.0 n	52.7 n	1.7 ij	1.7 h	13.3 m	14.7 k	4.98 j	5.0 h	28.1 c	19.6ef	7.9 n	7.3 j	1.8 n	1.8 j
	$H_2O_2 \ 1$	58.31	59.8 i	3.0 gh	3.3 fg	16.7 k	17.0 j	5.2 ij	5.2 gh	29.4 b	23.98 a	11.01	12.8 ef	2.2 1	2.1 h
D9	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	49.7 o	49.670	1.3 ј	1.7 h	11.7 n	11.31	3.0 k	3.0 i	24.6 e	16.4 i	6.4 o	8.70 i	1.6 o	2.0 i
	SA 1	61.8k	63.4k	3.7 fg	3.7 ef	18.3 j	18.3 j	5.3 hij	5.3 gh	30.7 a	23.98 a	11.7 k	13.8 d	2.3 k	2.2 h
	SA 2	56.3 m	56.7 m	2.3 hi	2.7 g	14.71	15.3 k	4.99 j	5.1gh	26.3 d	21.54c	10.8 m	10.7 h	2.1 m	2.1 hi

El-Kinany et al.

Table 3. The interaction effect between different irrigation intervals and different levels of hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid on plant growth parameters of Delphinium plants during the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

 $1^{\text{st}}$  and  $2^{\text{nd}}$ ; first season and second season. Means were compared using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test ( $P \le 0.05$ ); n = 3; Means with the same small letter show no significant interaction between different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid"

Generally, the superior influence of Salicylic acid treatments on stimulating the flower parameters may be due to the role of SA as a growth regulator which participates in the regulation of physiological processes in plants like, stimulating flowering in a range of plants, increases flower life, controls ion uptake by roots and stomatal conductivity (Hayat et al., 2007). Salicylic acid-induced flowering by acting as a chelating agent (Oata, 1975 and Pieterse and Muller, 1977). This view was supported by (Raskin et al., 1987) who reported that salicylic acid functioned as endogenous growth regulators of flowering and florigenic effects. Salicylic acid as a manager of blooming time interacts with both photoperiod-dependent and self-governing pathways (Martínez et al., 2004). The molecular mechanisms of salicylic acid involved in the bud- stimulating behaviors just like phenolic nature substances because of this harmonic behavior could act as natural regulators or act together with growth substances, by the reason metabolism of indole-3-acetic acid can be shifted in presence of phenolic chemicals (Grambow and Schwich, 1983). Salicylic acid has an outstanding correlation size of flower by cell elongation and cell expansion (Raskin, 1992). In addition, increase flavonoids contents in the inflorescence lead to enhancing flower length and width influenced positively the flower size and plant growth (Kim et al., 2009). Spray application of SA has been reported to prolong flower vase-life by increasing the activity of the ROS-scavenging enzyme catalase and improving the water balance (Cocetta and Ferrante, 2018). Increasing the number of flowers and flower longevity leads to an increase in flowering duration. Also, increasing flower diameter may lead to an increase in flower fresh and dry weight. Current results of Salicylic acid were in harmony with those reported by Mohamed et al. (2017) on strawberry, Luo et al. (2017) on Rosa chinensis and Brunfelsia calycina, Mahroof et al. (2017) on Zinnia elegans and Shahmoradi and Naderi (2018) on winter jasmine plants.

**Lokhande** *et al.* (2003) reported that the transition of a plant from vegetative growth to reproductive stage increase the levels of antioxidants and ROS suggesting that plants must undergo an oxidative stress during the flowering process, and the flowering time was negatively correlated with  $H_2O_2$ 

content, so they proposed a hypothesis that  $H_2O_2$  is a possible factor in flower induction. In addition, plans include a set of internal factors such as hormones (ABA and GA) and antioxidants (ASA and GSH), which participate in the regulation of flowering (Barth et al., 2006 and Chai et al., 2012). Flowering time can be clarified with differences in ASA redox state and ROS levels, but only in some mutants. The relationship between oxidative metabolism, ASA, and flowering has gone further with the studies of (Kumar et al., 2016) in orchids. Many studies have provided evidence that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> activating many other important signal molecules (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, ethylene, SA, JA, ABA) of plants (Dempsey and Klessig, 1995). Also, it is known that the effect of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> depends on the dosage (Liao et al., 2012), thus it is possible that the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> applied in this experience was having a positive effect on flowers. The obtained results of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were in harmony with Chai et al. (2012) on Arabidopsis thaliana, Liao et al. (2012) on hybrid lily and Rahimian-Boogar et al. (2016) on tuberose.

The effect of interaction between the different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid" on flower growth characters were significant during both seasons (Table 3). The statistical analysis revealed that the highest mean values of the number of days to flowering, flowering duration, flower diameter, number of flowers per plant, flower fresh weight and flower dry weight of Delphinium were achieved at the combined treatment between irrigation every three days and the application with 100 ppm Salicylic acid in both seasons. The estimated percentages increase in number of days to flowering, flowering duration, flower diameter, number of flowers per plant, flower fresh weight and flower dry weight were 3.06 and 3.46%, 20.5 and 18.07%, 5.65 and 6.87%, 10.42 and 7.81%, 7.55and 5.21% and 15 and 12.25 % compared to the control treatment. However, the lowest mean values of flowering parameters of Delphinium were achieved at combined treatment between irrigation every nine days and spray plants with 2500 ppm  $H_2O_2$ in both seasons.

Irrigation		of Days to rering	Flowering Duration (days)		Flower Diameter (cm)		Flowers Number per Plant		Flower Fresh Weight (g)		Flower Dry Weight (g)		
Interval Days	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	
Irrigation Intervals (days)													
D3	163.60 A	164.00 A	55.47A	56.87 A	3.98A	3.87 A	47.80 A	46.60 A	2.13 A	2.11 A	1.00 A	0.97 A	
D6	144.73 B	145.67 B	42.67B	43.60 B	2.94 B	2.83 B	36.73 B	35.27 B	1.80 B	1.76 B	0.68 B	0.65 B	
D9	126.33 C	127.40 C	27.20C	28.53 C	2.00 C	1.90 C	23.20 C	22.00 C	1.23 C	1.17 C	0.38 C	0.36 C	
				Hydrog	en Peroxic	le and Sali	cylic Acid						
Control	143.89 C	145.00 C	40.11D	41.22 C	2.93 C	2.82 C	35.22 C	34.11 C	1.69 C	1.64 C	0.67 C	0.64 C	
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1	147.22 B	147.11 B	42.89B	45.33 B	3.14 B	3.07 B	37.89 B	37.00 B	1.81 B	1.79 B	0.75 B	0.73 B	
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	138.89 D	140.11 D	36.11 E	37.00 D	2.58 E	2.48 E	31.00 E	29.33 E	1.52 D	1.48 D	0.56 E	0.54 E	
SA 1	150.67 A	151.78 A	48.78A	49.67 A	3.33 A	3.23 A	40.67 A	39.22 A	1.90 A	1.86 A	0.82 A	0.79 A	
SA 2	143.78 C	144.44 C	41.00C	41.78 C	2.87 D	2.73 D	34.78 D	33.44 D	1.69 C	1.63 C	0.63 D	0.61 D	

 Table 4. The main effect of different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid" on flowering growth parameters of Delphinium plants during the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

 $1^{\text{st}}$  and  $2^{\text{nd}}$ ; first season and second season. Means were compared using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test ( $P \le 0.05$ ); n = 3; Means with the same capital letters are no significantly different between different irrigation intervals or between different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid"

Irrigation Interval	Trts	Number of Days to Flowering		Flowering Duration (days)		Flower Diameter (cm)		Flowers Number per Plant		Flower Fresh Weight (g)		Flower Dry Weight (g)	
Days		$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	Control	163.67 c	163.67 c	53.67 c	55.33 c	4.07 c	3.93 c	48.00 c	47.00 c	2.12 c	2.11 c	1.00 c	0.98 c
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1	166.00 b	165.67 b	58.00 b	61.67 b	4.17 b	4.10 b	49.33 b	49.00 b	2.18 b	2.17 b	1.06 b	1.03 b
D3	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	158.33 e	159.67 e	50.00 e	49.67 e	3.53 e	3.43 e	42.33 e	41.33 e	2.01 e	2.00 e	0.87 e	0.86 e
	SA 1	168.67 a	169.33 a	64.67 a	65.33 a	4.30 a	4.20 a	53.00 a	50.67 a	2.28 a	2.22 a	1.15 a	1.10 a
	SA 2	161.33 d	161.67 d	51.00 d	52.33 d	3.83d	3.70d	46.33 d	45.00 d	2.08 d	2.06 d	0.93 d	0.89 d
	Control	144.67 h	146.33 h	42.33 h	43.67 h	2.93 h	2.83 h	37.00 h	35.67 h	1.82 g	1.79 h	0.68 h	0.64 h
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1	148.67 g	148.67 g	45.00 g	46.67 g	3.10g	3.03g	38.67 g	37.33 g	1.90 f	1.87 g	0.77 g	0.75 g
D6	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	138.00 j	138.67 j	37.67 j	38.67 j	2.57 ј	2.43 j	32.67 ј	30.33 j	1.58 i	1.52 ј	0.53 j	0.51 j
	SA 1	151.33 f	152.00 f	47.67 f	48.33 f	3.33 f	3.23 f	40.67 f	39.67 f	1.98 e	1.97 f	0.84 f	0.80 f
	SA 2	141.00 i	142.67 i	40.67 i	40.67 i	2.77 i	2.60 i	34.67 i	33.33 i	1.72 h	1.65 i	0.58 i	0.56 i
	Control	123.33 n	125.00 n	24.33 n	24.67 n	1.80n	1.71n	20.67 n	19.67 n	1.11 m	1.02 n	0.34 n	0.31 n
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1	127.00	127.00	25.67m	27.67m	2.171	2.071	25.671	24.671	1.36 k	1.311	0.431	0.401
D9	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	120.33 o	122.00 o	20.67 o	22.67 o	1.650	1.570	18.00 o	16.33 o	0.96 n	0.91 o	0.28 o	0.25 o
	SA 1	132.00 k	134.00 k	34.00 k	35.33 k	2.37 k	2.27 k	28.33 k	27.33 k	1.43 j	1.39 k	0.48 k	0.46 k
	SA 2	129.001	129.001	31.331	32.331	2.0m	1.9m	23.33m	22.00m	1.261	1.19 m	0.37 m	0.37 m

Table 5. The interaction effect between different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid" on flowering growth parameters of Delphinium plants during the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

 $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$ ; first season and second season. Means were compared using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test ( $P \le 0.05$ ); n = 3; Means with the same small letter show no significant interaction between different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid"

### **Chemical characters**

#### **Photosynthesis pigments**

Regarding the main effect of different irrigation intervals on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll, data in Table (6) indicated that chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll of Delphinium were significantly decreased with decreasing level of irrigation up to the lowest one in both seasons. The greatest reduction was obtained under severe drought stress, in both seasons. The estimated percentages decrease in chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll were 40.15 % and 46.44%, 31.49 and 12.82% and 37.32 and 36.03% compared to the control treatment and for the first and second season, respectively.

Drought stress causes a reduction in photosynthesis pigments, this reduction might be due to the reduction in cell division and elongation and more leaf senescence resulting from reduced turgor pressure (Shao et al., 2008) resulting in a reduction in leaf area. When the leaf area is small, the capacity to light trap decreases. This led to an imbalance between light capture and its utilization then reduction in photosynthesis rate (Khalid, 2006 and Shao et al., 2008). Also, water shortage inhibits photosynthesis by causing stomatal closure and metabolic damage. Stomatal closure may encourage the over-reduction of photosynthetic electron chain and increases the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide anion  $(O^{-2})$  which leads to the formation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, OH<sup>-</sup> and other ROS (Iannone et al. 2009). Also, stomatal closure causing a decline in leaf CO<sub>2</sub> concentration that might, in turn, resulting in a reduction in the concentration of NADP<sup>+</sup> available to accept electrons from PSI and/or PSII and so generation of ROS, like H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Stomata of the leaves that are slightly deficient in water opened more slowly in light and close faster in the dark (Nuruddin, 2001). The obtained results are in harmony with those reported by Celikkol et al. (2010) on peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.), Mooussa (2011) on soybean, Sibomana et al. (2013) on Tomato plants and Sohrabi et al. (2012) on chickpea.

Concerning the main effect of different rates of hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid on photosynthesis pigments, data in Table (6) indicated that foliar spray with the low concentrations of salicylic acid at 100 ppm on Delphinium plants significantly increased the given photosynthesis pigments parameters i.e. chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and chlorophyll a and b in both seasons. The results revealed also that there were insignificant differences between spraying Delphinium plants with salicylic acid at 100 or 200 ppm on chlorophyll a in the second season and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 1250 ppm or salicylic acid at 100 or tap water on chlorophyll b in the second season. Generally, the superior influence of Salicylic acid treatments on stimulating plant photosynthesis

pigments may be due to the role of SA in enhancing net photosynthetic rate which could be due to improving the functional state of the photosynthetic machinery in plants either by the mobilization of internal tissue nitrate or by chlorophyll biosynthesis (Shi et al., 2006). Also, exogenous application of SA may participate in the regulation of many physiological processes in plants, such as stomatal closure induced by drought stress, ion uptake and transport (Gunes et al., 2005), photosynthesis and growth. (Khan et al., 2003). Suitable concentrations of salicylic acid inhibit chlorophyll degradation and enhancement photosynthesis by inhibition of chlorophyll oxidase enzyme activity (Belkhadi et al., **2010).** Many studies have shown that exogenous SA improves plant adaptation to stresses by various mechanisms such as improved photosynthetic capacity (Arfan et al., 2007). The aforementioned results of Salicylic acid are in good accordance with those postulated by Fariduddin et al. (2003) on Brassica juncea, Khodary (2004) on maize, Szepesi (2006) on Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. and Yildirim et al., (2008) on cucumber.

The superior influence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatments on stimulating plant photosynthesis pigments may be due to the role of  $H_2O_2$  as a key regulator in a broad range of physiological processes, such as senescence (Peng et al., 2005), stomatal movement and photosynthesis (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). Also, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> plays a positive role in inducing the expression of the antioxidant system and reducing the oxidative damage of cellular membranes (He et al. 2009). Moreover, hydrogen peroxide is produced predominantly in plant cells during photosynthesis and photorespiration (Ślesak et al., 2007). The obtained results of  $H_2O_2$  are in harmony with Ashfaque et al. (2014) on wheat, Goldani et al. (2012) on Origanum majorana L, He et al. (2009) on wheat, and Semida (2016) on onion.

The effect of interaction between the different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid" on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and chlorophyll a+b were significant during both seasons (Table 7). The statistical analysis revealed that the highest mean values of chlorophyll a was obtained at spraying Delphinium plants with 100 ppm Salicylic acid in both seasons and 200 ppm Salicylic acid in the second season only under 3 days irrigation interval. The highest mean values of chlorophyll b were obtained at spraying Delphinium plants with 200 ppm Salicylic acid and 1250 ppm H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> under 3 days irrigation interval in the first and second season, respectively. While, the highest mean values of total chlorophyll was obtained when spraying Delphinium plants with 100 ppm Salicylic acid and 1250 ppm  $H_2O_2$  and spraying with 100 ppm Salicylic acid under 3 days irrigation interval in the first and second season, respectively.

# Hydrogen peroxide $\left(H_2O_2\right)$ and total phenolic contents

Regarding the main effect of drought stress (different irrigation intervals) on  $H_2O_2$  and total phenolic components contents in leaves, data in Table (6) displayed clearly that  $H_2O_2$  and total phenolic components content were significantly increased with decreasing level of irrigation up to the lowest one in both seasons. The greatest increment was obtained under severe drought stress, in both seasons. The estimated percentages increase in  $H_2O_2$  and total phenolic components contents were (65.35 % and 67.86 %) and (153.16 and 169.39 %) compared to the control treatment and for the first and second season, respectively.

The increment of hydrogen peroxide under drought stress may be due to that drought stress cause a loss of balance between the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydroxyl radicals (OH<sup> $\cdot$ </sup>), superoxide (O<sub>2</sub><sup> $\cdot$ </sup>), singlet oxygen (O<sub>2</sub><sup> $\cdot$ </sup>) and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), and their scavenging potential (Smirnoff, 1998). Water shortage causing stomatal closure which encourages over-reduction of photosynthetic electron chain and increases the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide anion (O<sup>-2</sup>) which leads to the formation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, OH<sup>-</sup> and other ROS (Iannone et al., 2009). Acceleration of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in all plant parts, reflected on the restricted entry of CO<sub>2</sub> in the leaves during drought stress, limits CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and accelerates the photorespiratory pathway and finally leads to excessive H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation in the peroxisome (de Carvalho, 2008). On the other hand, it is well known that phenolic compounds are the most widespread substantial groups of plant secondary metabolites synthesized by plants and increase as a result of the plants' adaptation to stressed conditions and these compounds have proven to be potential antioxidants that can protect cells from attack by free radicals by scavenge ROS (Quan et al., 2016) and prevent lipid peroxidation, protein denaturation and DNA damage (Król et al., 2014). Moreover, many studies have indicated that stress increases phenolic compounds accumulation (Król et al., 2014) and the accumulation is associated with antioxidant activity (Zhou et al., 2014 and Lee et al., 2017). Nowadays, it could be concluded that researchers believe that the increase in antioxidant enzymes increases plant tolerance to environmental stresses (Esfandiari et al., 2008). The obtained results of increasing  $H_2O_2$  under drought stress are in harmony with Mohamed and Akladious (2014) on soybean plants, Orabi et al. (2018) on canola plants, and Tartoura (2010) on wheat. Also, current results were in good accordance with those postulated by Król et al. (2014) on Vitis vinifera L., and Habibi (2018) on Aloe vera.

Concerning the main effect of different rates of hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and total phenolic components content, data in Table (6) indicated that foliar spray Delphinium plants with 100 and 200 ppm significantly decreased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in both seasons. The lowest value of  $H_2O_2$  content was observed at the low concentrations of salicylic acid 100 ppm in both seasons. Moreover, the highest concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2500 ppm) significantly increased total phenolic components content in Delphinium plants leaves, in both seasons, Generally, salicylic acid supplementation prevented the accumulation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in drought-stressed plants due to the up-regulation of  $H_2O_2$  scavenging enzymes such as glutathione peroxidase (GPX), glutathione Stransferase (GST) and catalase (CAT) than those under drought treatment without SA (Li et al., 2014 and Rohman et al., 2015).

Exogenous application of elicitors such as hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) was shown to promote an increase in phenolic content and antioxidant capacity in lentil sprouts but was also associated with lower plant growth and development as well as yield. Because elicitors can enhancement the content of phenolic compounds but can also affect the parameters of growth, development and yield of plants (Swieca, 2015). The obtained results of salicylic acid in decreasing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content are in harmony with those postulated by Hassanein et al. (2014) on wheat, Farouk et al. (2018) on Zea mays L, Hasanuzzaman et al. (2014) on Brassica napus. The obtained results of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in increasing the content of the phenolic component were in harmony with Hassanein et al. (2014) on wheat, Guler and Pehlivan (2016) on soybean and Espinosa-Villarreal et al. (2017) on amaranth plants. The effect of interaction between the different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid" on H2O2 and total phenolic components contents in leaves were significant during both seasons (Table 7). The statistical analysis revealed that the highest mean values of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in leaves was achieved at the interaction between irrigation every nine days and spray plants with tap water and the highest mean values of total phenolic components contents in leaves was achieved at the interaction between irrigation every nine days and the application with 2500 ppm  $H_2O_2$  in both seasons. On the other hand, the lowest mean value of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in leaves was achieved at the interaction between irrigation every three days and the application with 100 ppm Salicylic acid and the lowest mean value of total phenolic components contents in leaves was achieved at the interaction between irrigation every three days and spray plants with tap water in both seasons.

Irrigation Interval Days	Chlorophyll a (mg/gm F.wt)			Chlorophyll b (mg/gm F.wt)		nyll a + b n F.wt)		l Leaves /g f wt)	Total Phenols (mg/g d wt.)				
	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>			
Irrigation intervals (days)													
D3	2.59 A	2.67 A	1.178 A	1.17 A	3.765 A	3.83 A	4.82 C	4.76 C	7.28 C	6.60 C			
D6	2.21 A	2.29 B	1.063 B	0.98 B	3.275 B	3.26 B	6.44 B	6.41 B	12.18 B	11.66 B			
D9	1.55 B	1.43 C	0.807 C	1.02AB	2.360 C	2.45 C	7.97 A	7.99 A	18.43 A	17.78 A			
			Hydro	ogen peroxide	e and salicylic	acid							
Control	2.11 ABC	1.95 B	0.960 B	1.12 A	3.07 BC	3.06 C	7.91 A	7.90 A	7.19 E	6.93 E			
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1	2.22 AB	2.03 B	1.042AB	1.25 A	3.26AB	3.28 B	6.04 C	6.01 C	14.75 B	14.26 B			
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	1.93 C	1.89 B	0.959 B	1.02AB	2.887 C	2.90 D	6.66 B	6.62 B	16.35 A	15.62 A			
SA 1	2.38 A	2.44 A	1.078 A	1.14 A	3.453 A	3.57 A	5.60 E	5.59 E	11.46 D	10.86 D			
SA 2	1.96 BC	2.34 A	1.042AB	0.75 B	2.998 C	3.08 C	5.84 D	5.79 D	13.41 C	12.40 C			

Table 6. The main effect of different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid" on chemical characters of Delphinium plants during the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

 $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$ ; first season and second season. Means were compared using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test ( $P \le 0.05$ ); n = 3; Means with the same capital letters are no significantly different between different irrigation intervals or between different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid"

Irrigation Interval Days	Trts	Chlorophyll a (mg/gm F.wt)			vll b (mg/gm wt)	Chlorophyll a F.w			ves (µmol/g f t)	Total phenols (mg/g d wt.)	
Interval Days		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{\mathrm{nd}}$	$1^{st}$	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	Control	2.72 ab	2.68 ab	0.998 cde	1.07 abcde	3.719 ab	3.74 bc	5.22 ј	5.17 h	4.26 m	4.46 i
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1	2.69 ab	2.32 bc	1.215 ab	1.51 a	3.906 a	3.82 b	4.82 k	4.77 i	8.83 j	7.67 g
D3	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	2.29 bcd	2.15 bcde	1.123 bc	1.41 ab	3.418 bc	3.55 d	5.12 ј	5.08 h	10.03 i	8.83 g
	SA 1	2.86 a	3.05 a	1.245 ab	1.36 abc	4.108 a	4.40 a	4.25 m	4.17 k	5.801	5.67 h
	SA 2	2.36 bc	3.15 a	1.309 a	0.52 e	3.673 ab	3.66 c	4.671	4.60 j	7.50 k	6.37 h
	Control	2.25 bcd	2.21 bcd	1.027 cd	1.02 abcde	3.274 bc	3.22 f	8.41 b	8.33 b	6.48 kl	6.21 h
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1	2.14 cd	2.24 bcd	1.229 ab	1.14 abcd	3.371 bc	3.37 e	6.03 g	5.92 f	14.30 f	13.72 e
D6	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	2.21 bcd	2.23 bcd	0.909 def	0.81 cde	3.116 cd	3.04 g	6.61 f	6.62 e	15.74 e	15.00 d
	SA 1	2.25 bcd	2.63 abc	1.192 ab	0.84 bcde	3.444 bc	3.45 e	5.47 i	5.52 g	11.48 h	10.81 f
	SA 2	2.21 bcd	2.12 cde	0.956 de	1.08 abcde	3.168 cd	3.19 f	5.69 h	5.64 g	12.92 g	12.57 e
	Control	1.36 e	0.95 g	0.854 ef	1.28 abc	2.213 f	2.23 k	10.10 a	10.20 a	10.82 hi	10.11 f
	$H_2O_2$ 1	1.82 d	1.54 f	0.681 g	1.11 abcd	2.503 ef	2.64 i	7.26 d	7.34 c	21.13 b	21.40 b
D9	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 2	1.28 e	1.28 fg	0.844 ef	0.84 bcde	2.127 f	2.121	8.24 c	8.17 b	23.28 a	23.04 a
	SA 1	2.01 cd	1.65 ef	0.797 fg	1.22 abc	2.808 de	2.86 h	7.10 e	7.09 d	17.11 d	16.11 d
	SA 2	1.29 e	1.75 def	0.861 ef	0.64 de	2.152 f	2.39 j	7.16 de	7.14 d	19.82 c	18.25 c

El-Kinany et al.

 Table 7. The interaction effect between different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid" on chemical characters of Delphinium plants during the 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

 $1^{\text{st}}$  and  $2^{\text{nd}}$ ; first season and second season. Means were compared using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test ( $P \le 0.05$ ); n = 3; Means with the same small letter show no significant interaction between different irrigation intervals and different levels of "hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid"

### CONCLUSIONS

From the aforementioned results, water stress by widening the irrigation intervals adversely affected the growth of Delphinium plants. The application of low concentrations of SA or  $H_2O_2$  caused significant increases in the growth parameters and chemical composition of Delphinium plants. Thus, it could be concluded that the application of low concentrations of SA or  $H_2O_2$  might help plants to tolerate drought stress from prolonging periods between irrigation.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. Said Gaber, Emeritus Professor of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University for his constructive comments and revision of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

Al-Ubaydi, R. M.; Al-Shakry, E. F.; Al-Samara, M. A. and Al-Mohmadawy, S. M. (2017). Effect of irrigation intervals on growth, flowering and fruits quality of okra *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Monech. African J. Agric. Res., 12(23): 2036-2040.

**Arfan, M.; Athar, H. R. and Ashraf, M. (2007).** Does Exogenous Application of Salicylic Acid through the Rooting Medium Modulate Growth and Photosynthetic Capacity in Two Differently Adapted Spring Wheat Cultivars under Salt Stress. J. Plant Physiol., 6(4): 685-694.

**Arnon, D.I.** (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts, polyphenloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant Physiology, 24(1): 1-15.

Arora, A.; Sairam, R. K. and Srivastava, G. C. (2002). Oxidative stress and antioxidative system in plants. Current Science, 82(12): 1227-1238.

Ashfaque, F.; Khan, M.I. and Khan, N.A. (2014). Exogenously applied  $H_2O_2$  promotes proline accumulation, water relations, photosynthetic efficiency and growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Annu. Res. Rev. Biol., 4: 105-120.

Barba-Espín, G.; Diaz-Vivancos, P.; Job, D.; Belghazi, M.; Job, C. and Hernández, J.A. (2011). Understanding the role of H2O2 during pea seed germination: a combined proteomic and hormone profiling approach. Plant Cell Environ., 34(11): 1907–1919.

**Barth, C.; De Tullio, M. and Conklin, P. L. (2006).** The role of ascorbic acid in the control of flowering time and the onset of senescence. J. Exp. Bot., 57(7): 1657–1665.

Bassett, D. (2006). Delphiniums. United Kingdom: Batsford. p. 160.

Belkhadi, A.; Hediji, H.; Abbes, Z.; Nouairi, I.; Barhoumi, Z.; Zarrouk, M.; Chaibi, W. and Djebali, W. (2010). Effects of exogenous salicylic acid pre-treatment on cadmium toxicity and leaf lipid content in *Linum usitatissimum* L. Ecotox. Environ. Safe., 73(5): 1004-1011.

Black, C.A.; Evans, D. D. and Dinauer, R. C. (1965). Methods of soil analysis. Madison, WI: Amer. Soc. Agron., 9: 653-708.

**Blanchè, C. and Molero, J. (1993).** Taxonomic and nomenclatural notes on Delphinium L. Botanical J. Linnean. Soc. 113 (2): 125-133.

**Bonjar, G. H. S.; Aghighi, S. and Nik, A. K. (2004).** Antibacterial and antifungal survey in plants used in indigenous herbal-medicine of south east regions of Iran. J. Biol. Sci., 4: 405-412.

**Bray, E. A.; Bailey-Serres, J. and Weretilnyk, E.** (2000). Biochemistry and molecular biology of plants. Rockville: American Society of Plant Physiologists, pp.1158-1203.

**Celikkol, A.; Ercan, O.; Kavas, M. and Yildiz, L.** (2010). Drought-induced oxidative damage and antioxidant responses in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) seedlings. Plant Growth Regul., 61(1): 21-28.

<sup>\*</sup>Cerekovi'c, N.; Pagter, M.; Kristensen, H.L.; Pedersen, H.L.; Brennan, R. and Petersen, K.K. (2013). Effects of drought stress during flowering of two pot-grown black currant (*Ribes nigrum* L.) cultivars. Scientia Horticulturae, 162: 365–373.

**Chai, L.; Wang, J. and Fan, Z. (2012).** Regulation of the flowering time of *Arabidopsis thaliana* by thylakoid ascorbate peroxidase. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 11(28): 7151–7157.

Chattha, M.U.; Sana, M. A.; Munir, H.; Ashraf, U.; Ul-Haq, I. and Zamir, S.I. (2015). Exogenous application of plant growth-promoting substances enhances the growth, yield, and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Plant Knowledge J., 4(1): 1-6.

**Cocetta, G. and Ferrante, A. (2018).** Postharvest application of hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid differently affects the quality and vase life of cut rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) petals and leaves. Adv. Hort. Sci., 32(3): 371-378.

**CoStat. (2008).** CoStat program, version 6.4. CoHort Software, Monterey, CA., USA.

**Daniel, H. D. and George, C. M. (1972).** Peach seed dormancy in relation to indogenous inhibitors and applied growth substances. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 97(5): 651-654.

**de Carvelho, C. M.H. (2008).** Drought stress and reactive oxygen species Production, scavenging and signaling Plant Signal. Plant Signal Behav., 3(3): 156–165.

**Dempsey, D. A. and klessig, D. F. (1995).** Signals in plant disease resistance. Bull. Inst. Pasteur. 93)3): 167-186.

Deng, X.P.; Cheng, Y.J.; Wu, X.B.; Kwak, S.S.; Chen, W. and Eneji, A.E. (2012). Exogenous hydrogen peroxide positively influences root growth and metabolism in leaves of sweet potato seedlings. Aust. J. Crop Sci., 6: 1572-1578.

**Desai, H.K.; Cartwright, B. T. and Pelletier, S. W.** (1994). Ajadinine: a new norditerpenoid alkaloid from the seeds of *Delphinium ajacis*. The complete NMR assignments for some lycoctonine-type alkaloids. J. Natural Products, 57 (5): 677-682.

El-Saadony, F.M.; Nawar, D.A.S. and Zyada, H.G. (2017). Effect of foliar application with salicylic acid, garlic extract and proline on growth, yield and leaf anatomy of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) grown under drought stress. Middle-East J. Appl. Sci., 7(3): 633-650.

**El-Sabagh, A.; Abdelaal, Kh. A. and Barutcular, C. (2017).** Impact of antioxidants supplementation on growth, yield and quality traits of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) under irrigation intervals in north Nile Delta of Egypt. J. Experim. Biol. Agric. Sci., 5(1): 163-172.

**El-Tayeb, M. A. (2005).** Response of barley grains to the interactive effect of salinity and salicylic acid. Plant Growth Regulation, 45: 215-224.

Esfandiari, E.; Shakiba, M.R.; Mahboob, S.; Aliari, H. and Shahabivand, S. (2008). The effect of water stress on antioxidant content, protective enzyme activity, proline content and lipid peroxidation in wheat seedling. Pak. Bio. Sci., 11(15): 1916-1922.

Espinosa-Villarreal, N.; Chávez-Servín, J. L.; Mercado-Luna, A.; Torre-Carbot, K. D.; Aguilera-Barreyro, A.; Ferriz-Martínez, R. A.; Malda-Barrera, G.; Serrano-Arellano, J.; Saldaña, C. and García-Gasca, T. (2017). Effect of Foliar Application of Hydrogen Peroxide on Growth, Yield, Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Compounds of Amaranth Leaf and Seed. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 19 (6): 1541-1550.

Fardus, J.; Abdul Matin, M. D.; Hasanuzzaman, M.D.; Hossain, S.; Nath, S.D.; Hossain, M.D. A.; Rohman, M. and Hasanuzzaman, M. (2017). Exogenous salicylic acid-mediated physiological responses and improvement in yield by modulating antioxidant defense system of wheat under salinity. Not. Sci. Biol. 9(2): 219-232.

Fariduddin, Q.; Hayat, S. and Ahmad, A. (2003). Salicylic acid influences net photosynthetic rate, carboxylation efficiency, nitrate reductase activity and seed yield in *Brassica juncea*. Photosynthetica, 41: 281-284.

Farouk, S.; Arafa, S. A. and R. Nassar, M. A. (2018). Improving drought tolerance in corn (*Zea mays* L.) by foliar application with salicylic acid. Int. J. Environ., 7(3): 104-123.

Goldani, M.; Selahvarzi, Y.; Nabati, J. and Alirezai, M. (2012). Effect of exogenous application of hydrogen peroxide on some salt tolerance indices in oregano (*Origanum majorana* L.). J. Hortic. Sci., 26(2): 153-161.

**Grambow, H.J. and Schwich, B. L. (1983).** The relationship between oxidase activity, hydrogen peroxide and phenolic compounds in the degradation of indole-3-acetic acid in vitro. Planta, 157: 131-137.

**Guilioni, L.; Wery, J. and Lecoeur, J. (2003).** High temperature and water deficit may reduce seed number in field pea purely by decreasing plant growth rate. Funct. Plant Biol., 30(11): 1151–1164.

**Guler, N. S and Pehlivan, N. P. (2016).** Exogenous low-dose hydrogen peroxide enhances drought tolerance of soybean (*glycine max* l.) through inducing antioxidant system. Acta Biologica Hungarica, 67(2): 169–183.

Gunes, A.; Inal, A. and Alpaslan, M. (2005). Effects of exogenously applied salicylic acid on the induction of multiple stress tolerance and mineral nutrition in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Arch Agron. Soil Sci., 51(6): 687-695.

**Habibi, G. (2018).** Effects of mild and severe drought stress on the biomass, phenolic compounds production and photochemical activity of *Aloe vera* (L.) Burm.f. Acta Agriculturae Slovenica, 111(2): 463 – 476.

Habibi, G. (2012). Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates oxidative damage of barley plants under drought stress. Acta Biol. Szeged, 56: 57–63.

Hasanuzzaman, M.; Alam, M.M.; Nahar, K.; Mahmud, J. A.; Ahamed, K.U. and Fujita, M. (2014). Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates salt stressinduced oxidative damage in *Brassica napus* by enhancing the antioxidant defense and glyoxalase systems. Australian J. Plant Sci., 8(4): 631-639.

Hassanein, R. A.; Amin, A. A. E.; Rashad, E. M. and Ali, H. (2014). Effect of thiourea and salicylic acid on antioxidant defense of wheat plants under drought stress. Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res., 07(01): 346-354.

Hayat, S.; Ali, B. and Ahmad, A. (2007). Salicylic acid: biosynthesis, metabolism and physiological role in plant, salicylic acid. A Plant Hormone. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. pp: 1-14.

He, L.; Gao, Z. and Li, R. (2009). Pretreatment of seed with H2O2 enhances drought tolerance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) seedlings. Afri. J. Biotech., 8(22): 6151- 6157.

Hossain, M. A.; Bhattacharjee, S.; Armin, S. M.; Qian, P.; Xin, W.; Li, H. Y.; Burritt, D. J.; Fujita, M. and Tran, L. S. P. (2015). Hydrogen peroxide priming modulates abiotic oxidative stress tolerance: insights from ROS detoxification and scavenging. Front. Plant Sci., 6: 1–19.

Hung, S.H.; Yu, C.W. and Lin, C. H. (2005). Hydrogen peroxide functions as a stress signal in plants. Botanical Bulletin-Academia Sinica Taipei, 46: 1-10.

Hussein, M. M.; Balbaa, L. K. and Gaballah, M. S. (2007). Salicylic acid and salinity effects on growth of maize plants. Agric. Biol. Sci., 3(4): 321-328.

**Iannone, M. F.; Rosales, E. P.; Groppa, M. D. and Benavides, M.P. (2009).** Reactive oxygen species formation and cell death in catalase-deficient tobacco leaf disks exposed to cadmium. Protoplasma, 245(1-4): 15-27.

**Ismail, S. M. and Ozawa, K. (2009).** Effect of irrigation interval on growth characteristics, plant water stress tolerance and water use efficiency for chile pepper. Thirteenth International Water Technology Conference, IWTC 13 2009, Hurghada, Egypt.

Jana, S. and Choudhuri, M.A. (1981). Glycolate metabolism of three submerged aquatic angiosperms during aging. Aquatic Botany, 12: 345-354.

**Kareem, F.; Rihan, H. and Fuller, M. (2017).** The effect of exogenous applications of salicylic acid and molybdenum on the tolerance of drought in wheat. Agri Res. Tech. Open Access J. 9(4): 555768.

Khalid, K. A. (2006). Influence of water stress on growth, essential oil, and chemical composition of herbs (*Ocimum* sp.). Int. Agrophys. 20: 289-296.

Khan, W.; Prithiviraj, B. and Smith, D. L. (2003). Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates. J. Plant Physiol., 160(5): 485-492.

**Khodary, S. (2004).** Effect of salicylic acid on growth, photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism in salt stressed maize plants. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 6(1): 5-8.

Kim, Y. H.; Hamayun, M.; Khan, A. L.; Na, C. I.; Kang, S. M.; Han, H. H. and Lee, I. J. (2009). Exogenous application of plant growth regulators increased the total flavonoid content in *Taraxacum official* Wigg. African Journal of Biotechnology, 8(21): 5727-5732.

**Kramer, P. J. (1995).** Water relations of plants and soils. Academic Press, San Diego, New York, Boston.

Król, A.; Amarowicz, R. and Weidner, S. (2014). Changes in the composition of phenolic compounds and antioxidant properties of grapevine roots and leaves (*Vitis vinifera* L.) under continuous of longterm drought stress. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum, 36(6): 1491–1499.

Kumar, R. S.; Shen, C. H.; Wu, P. Y.; Kumar, S. S.; Hua, M. S. and Yeh, K. W. (2016). Nitric oxide participates in plant flowering repression by ascorbate. Scientific reports, 6: 35246.

**Laraus, J. (2004).** The problems of sustainable water use in the Mediterranean and research requirements for agriculture. Ann. Appl. Biol., 144(3): 259–272.

Lawlor, D. W. and Cornic, G. (2002). Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated metabolism in relation to water deficits in higher plants. Plant Cell Environ. 25: 275–94.

Lee, Y. H.; Kim, B.; Kim, S.; Kim, M. S.; Kim, H.; Hwang, S. R. and Lee, J. H. (2017). Characterization of metabolite profiles from the leaves of green perilla (*Perilla frutescens*) by ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization quadrupole time-offlight mass spectrometry and screening for their antioxidant properties. J. Food and Drug Analysis, 25(4): 776–788.

Leskovar, D. I. (1998). Root and shoot modification by irrigation. HortTechnology., 8 (4): 510-514.

Li, T.; Hu, Y.; Du, X.; Tang, H.; Shen, C. and Wu, J. (2014). Salicylic acid alleviates the adverse effects of salt stress in *Torreya grandis* cv. Merrillii seedlings by activating photosynthesis and enhancing antioxidant systems. PLOS one 9(10): e109492.

Liang, X. H.; Ross, S. A.; Sohni, Y. R.; Sayed, H. M.; Desai, H. K.; Joshi, B. S.; Pelletier, S. W. (1991). Norditerpenoid alkaloids from the stems and leaves of *Delphinium ajacis*. J. Nat. Prod., 54: 1283–1287.

Liao, W.B.; Zhang, M.L.; Huang, G.B. and Yu, J.H. (2012). Hydrogen peroxide in the vase solution increases vase life and keeping quality of cut Orienta  $1 \times$  Trumpet hybrid lily 'Manissa'. Sci. Hort., 139: 32-38.

Liu, Z. J.; Guo, Y. K. and Bai, J. G. (2010). Exogenous hydrogen peroxide changes antioxidant enzyme activity and protects ultrastructure in leaves of two cucumber ecotypes under osmotic stress. J. Plant Growth Regul., 29: 171–183.

Lokhande, S. D.; Ogawa, K.; Tanaka, A. and Hara, T. (2003). Effect of temperature on ascorbate peroxidase activity and flowering of Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes under different light conditions. J. Plant Physiol., 160(1): 57–64.

Luo, H.; Deng, S.; Fu, W.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, Z. and Pang, X. (2017). Characterization of active anthocyanin degradation in the petals of *Rosa chinensis* and Brunfelsia calycina reveals the effect of gallated catechins on pigment maintenance. - Int. J. Mol. Sci., 18(4): 699.

**Mahendran, S. and Bandara, D.C. (2000).** Effects of soil moisture stress at different growth stages on vitamin C, capsaicin, and β-carotene contents of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) fruits and their impact on yield. Trop. Agric. Res., 12: 95-106.

Mahroof, S.; Qureshi, U. S.; Chughtai, S; Shah, M.; John, S and Qureshi, A. (2017). Effect of

different growth stimulants on growth and flower quality of zinnia (*Zinnia elegans*) var. Benery's giant. IJB. 11(2): 25-34.

Martínez, C.; Pons, E.; Prats, G. and León, J. (2004). Salicylic acid regulates flowering time and links defense responses and reproductive development. Plant. J., 37(2): 209-217.

Mehrabian, N.; Arvin, M. J.; Nezhad, K. G.H. and Maghsodi, K. (2011). Effect of salisylic acid on growth, seed and forage yield of corn under field drought stress. J. Seed Plant Produc., 2(1): 41-55.

Mohamed, R. A.; Al-Kharpotly, A. and Abd-Elkader, D.Y. (2017). Salicylic Acid Effects on Growth, Yield, and Fruit Quality of Strawberry Cultivars. Journal of Medicinally Active Plants, 6(2): 1-11.

Mohamed, H. I. and Akladious, S. A. (2014). Influence of Garlic extract on enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants in soybean plants (*Glycine max*) grown under drought stress. Life Science J., 11 (35): 46-58.

Molz, F.J. and Klepper, B. (1973). On the mechanism of water stress-induced stem deformation. Agron. J., 65: 304-306.

**Mooussa, H.R. (2011).** Low dose of gamma irradiation enhanced drought tolerance in soybean. Bulg. J. Agric. Sci., 17(1): 63-72.

Munns, R. and Tester. D. (2008). Mechanisms of Salinity Tolerance. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol., 59: 651-681.

Munns, R.; Brady, C. J. and Barlow, E. W. R. (1979). Solutes accumulation in the apex and leaves of wheat during water stress. Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 6: 379-389.

Noctor, G. and Foyer, C.H. (1998). Ascorbate and glutathione: keeping active oxygen under control. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 49: 249-279.

Nuruddin, M. M. (2001). Effects of water stress on tomato at different growth stages, Thesis MSc., McGill University, Macdonald Campus, Montreal, Canada.

**Oata, Y. (1975).** Short day flowering of *Lemna gibba* G3 induced by salicylic acid. Plant Cell Physiol., 16: 1131-1135.

**Orabi, S. A.; Hussein, M. M.; Zaki, S. S and Sharara, F. A. (2018).** Influence of hydrogen peroxide on growth, yield and biochemical constituents of canola plants grown under different irrigation intervals. Curr. Sci. Int., 7(3): 407-418.

**Peng, L.T.; Jiang, Y.M.; Yang, S.Z. and Pan, S.Y.** (2005). Accelerated senescence of fresh-cut Chinese water chestnut tissues in relation to hydrogen peroxide accumulation. J. Plant Physiol. Mol. Biol., 31:527-532.

**Pieterse, A. H. and Muller, L. J. (1977).** Induction of flowering in *Lemna gibba*  $G_3$  under short-day conditions. Plant Cell Physiol., 18: 45-53.

**Ponce, M.T.; Selles, S.G.; Frreyra, E.R.; Peralla, J.M.; Moyan, A.S. and Ainrichsen, R.P. (1996).** Metabolic indicators of water deficit as a possible criterion for evaluation of irrigation management analysis in sweet pepper. Agricultrua-Terncia-Santiago. 56(1): 57-63.

Potikha, T.S.; Collins, C. C.; Johnson, D. I.; Delmer, D. P. and Levine, A. (1999). The involvement of hydrogen peroxide in the differentiation of secondary walls in cotton fibers. Plant Physiol., 119: 849–58.

Quan, N.T.; Anh, L. H.; Khang, D.T.; Tuyen, P.T.; Toan, N.P.; Minh, T.N.; Bach, D.T.; Ha, P.T.T.; Elzaawely, A.A.; Khanh, T.D. and Trung, K.H. (2016). Involvement of secondary metabolites in response to drought stress of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Agriculture, 6(2), 23.

Rahimian-Boogar, A.; Salehi, H. and Mir, N. (2016). Influence of citric acid and hydrogen peroxide on postharvest quality of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.'Pearl') cut flowers. J. Hortic. Res., 24(1): 13-19.

Ran, X. D. and Hai, Z. H. Y. (1993). Harbin Publishing House. 219.

**Raskin, I. (1992).** Role of salicylic acid in plants. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 43(1): 439-463.

Raskin, I.; Ehmann, A.; Melander, W. R. and Meeuse, B. J. D. (1987). Salicylic acid-a natural inducer of heat production in Arum lilies. Science, 237(2822): 1601-1602.

**Ren, H.; Chen, X.; Sun, G. and Wang, Y. (2000).** Response of wheat seedlings with different drought resistance to water deficiency and NaCl stresses. Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao. The journal of applied ecology, 11(5): 718–22.

Rohman, M. M.; Begum, S.; Akhi, A. H.; Ahsan, A. F. M. S.; Uddin, M. S.; Amiruzzaman, M. and Banik, B. R. (2015). Protective role of antioxidants in maize seedlings under saline stress: Exogenous proline provided better tolerance than betaine. Bothalia Journal, 45(4): 17-35.

Sakhabutdinova, A. R.; Fatkutdinova, D. R.; Bezrukova, M. V. and Shakirova, F. M. (2003). Salicylic acid prevents the damaging action of stress factors on wheat plants. Bulg. J. Plant. Physiol., 21: 314-319.

Scholander, P.F.; Hamel, T.; Bradstreet, E.D. and Hemming, E. A. (1965). Sap pressure in vascular plants. Science, 148: 339-346.

Semida, W.M. (2016). Hydrogen Peroxide Alleviates Salt-Stress in Two Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

Cultivars American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 16 (2): 294-301.

Shahmoradi, H. and Naderi, D. (2018). Improving Effects of Salicylic Acid on Morphological, Physiological and Biochemical Responses of Salt imposed Winter Jasmine. Int. J. Hort. Sci. Technol., 5 (2) 219-230.

Shao, H.B.; Chu, L.Y.; Jaleel, C.A. and Zhao, C. X. (2008). Water-deficit stress-induced anatomical changes in higher plants. Comptes Rendus Biol., 331: 215-225.

Sharma, P.; Jha, A. B.; Dubey, R. S. and Pessarakli, M. (2012). Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions: Journal of Botany, 26.

Shi, Q.; Bao, Z.; Zhu, Z.; Ying, Q. and Qian, Q. (2006). Effects of different treatments of salicylic acid on heat tolerance, chlorophyll fluorescence, and antioxidant enzyme activity in seedlings of *Cucumis sativa* L. Plant Growth Reg., 48(2): 127-135.

Shokrani, F.; Pirzad, A.; Zardoshti, M. R. and Darvishzadeh, R. (2012). Effect of irrigation disruption and biological nitrogen on growth and flower yield in *Calendula officinalis* L. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 11(21): 4795-4802.

Sibomana, C.; Aguyoh, J. N. and Opiyo, A. M. (2013). Water stress affects growth and yield of container grown tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) Plants. G.J.B.B., 2 (4): 461-466.

Singh, M.; Ganesha-Rao, R.S. and Ramesh, S. (1997). Irrigation and nitrogen requirement of lemongrass (*Cymbopogon flexuosus* (Sleud) Wats) on a red sandy loam soil under semiarid tropical conditions. J. Essent. Oil Res., 9: 569-574.

**Ślesak, I.; Libik, M., Karpinska, B.; Karpinski, S. and Miszalski, Z. (2007).** The role of hydrogen peroxide in regulation of plant metabolism and cellular signaling in response to environmental stresses. Acta Biochim. Pol. 54: 39-50.

Smirnoff, N. (1998). Plant resistance to environmental stress. Curr. Opin. Biotech., 9(2): 214–219.

Sohrabi, Y.; Heidari, G.; Weisany, W.; Golezani, K. and Mohammadi, K. (2012). Some physiological responses of chickpea (*Cicer aritinum* L.) cultivars to arbuscular mycorrhiza under drought stress. Russ. J. Plant Physiol., 59(6): 708-716.

**Swieca, M. (2015).** Production of ready-to-eat lentil sprouts with improved antioxidant capacity: Optimization of elicitation conditions with hydrogen peroxide. Food Chem., 180: 219–226.

**Szepesi, A. (2006).** Salicylic acid improves the acclimation of *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. to high salinity by approximating its salt stress response to that of the wild species L. Pennellii. Acta Biologica Szegediensis, 50: 177.

**Tartoura, K. A. H. (2010).** Alleviation of oxidative – stress induced by drought through application of compost in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Plants. American Eurasian J. Agric. and Environ. Sci., 9 (2): 208-216.

**Turan, A.; Ucar, Y. and Kazaz, S. (2015).** Effects of different irrigation treatments on quality parameters of cut chrysanthemum. Scientific Papers. Series B, Horticulture. Sci Pap Ser B Hortic., 59: 419-426.

Wang, F. P.; Chen, Q. H. and Liu, X. Y. (2010). Diterpenoid alkaloids. Nat. Prod. Rep. 27: 529-570.

Wang, X.; Samo, N.; Li, L.; Wang, M.; Qadir, M.; Jiang, B.; Qin, J.; Rasul, F.; Yang, G. and Hu, Y. (2019). Root Distribution and Its Impacts on the Drought Tolerance Capacity of Hybrid Rice in the Sichuan Basin Area of China. Agronomy, 9(2): 79.

**Warnock, M. J. (1993).** "Delphinium". In Hickman, James C. (ed.). The Jepson Manual: Higher Plants of California. University and Jepson Herbaria. Taxon, 42(2): 453-456.

**Yazdanpanah, S.; Baghizadeh, A. and Abbassi, F.** (2011). The interaction between drought stress and salicylic and ascorbic acids on some biochemical characteristics of *Satureja hortensis*. African J. Agric. Res., 6 (4): 798-807.

**Yildirim, E.; Turan, M. and Guvenc, I. (2008).** Effect of foliar salicylic acid applications on growth, chlorophyll and mineral content of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) grown under salt stress. J. Plant Nutri., 31 (3): 593-612.

Yin, C. Y.; Wang, X.; Duan, B. L.; Luo, J. and Li, C. (2005). Early growth, dry matter allocation and water use efficiency of two sympatric Populus species as affected by water stress. Environ. Exp. Bot., 53: 315–322.

Zarghami, M.; Shoor, M.; Ganjali, A.; Moshtaghi, N. and Tehranifar, A. (2014). Effect of salicylic acid on morphological and ornamental characteristics of petunia hybrida at drought stress. Indian J. of Fundamental and Appl. Life Sci., 4: 523-532.

Zhou, X. J.; Yan, L. L.; Yin, P. P.; Shi, L. L.; Zhang, J. H.; Liu, Y. J. and Ma, C. (2014). Structural characterization and antioxidant activity evaluation of phenolic compounds from cold-pressed *Perilla frutescens* var. *arguta* seed flour. Food chemistry, 164: 150–157.